

PRELIMINARY SITE (CONTAMINATION) ASSESSMENT LOTS 512 AND 513, KARIONG

Prepared for Darkinjung Local Aboriginal Council Prepared by RCA Australia RCA ref 14872-401/1 June 2020





# **RCA AUSTRALIA**

ABN 53 063 515 711

92 Hill Street, CARRINGTON NSW 2294

Telephone: +61 2 4902 9200 Facsimile: +61 2 4902 9299 Email: <u>administrator@rca.com.au</u> Internet: www.rca.com.au

This document is and shall remain the property of RCA Australia. The document may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the commission. Unauthorised use of this document in any form whatsoever is prohibited.

DOCUMENT STATUS						
Rev	Comment	ent Author	Reviewer	Approved for Issue (Project Manager)		
No				Name	Signature	Date
/0	Final	C Rocher	F Brooker	F Brooker		4.06.2020
/1	Final	C Rocher	F Brooker	F Brooker	Porsocker	9.06.2020

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION				
Rev No	Copies	Format	Issued to	Date
/0	1	Electronic (email)	Darkinjung Aboriginal Land Council C/- Property Barr Property and Planning – Andrew Donald – adonald@barrpandp.com.au	3.06.2020
/0	1	Electronic report	RCA – job archive	3.06.2020
/1	1	Electronic (email)	Darkinjung Aboriginal Land Council C/- Property Barr Property and Planning – Andrew Donald – adonald@barrpandp.com.au	9.06.2020
/1	1	Electronic report	RCA – job archive	9.06.2020





# Contents

1	INTRODUCTION1		
2	SITE IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION1		
3	SITE HISTORY AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION2		
	3.1     3.2       3.2     1       3.3     0       3.4     0       3.5     1	SITE NOTIFICATIONS HISTORICAL PHOTOGRAPHS CONTAMINATED LAND PUBLIC RECORD GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY INTEGRITY ASSESSMENT	.2 .4 .5 .6
4	PRELIN	INARY CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL	.6
5	SAMPL	ING AND ANALYTICAL QUALITY PLAN	.7
	5.1     5.2       5.3     5.3       5.4     5.5       5.6     5.7	STEP 1 – STATE THE PROBLEM STEP 2 – IDENTIFY THE GOALS AND DECISIONS STEP 3 – IDENTIFY INPUTS TO THE DECISIONS STEP 4 – DEFINE THE BOUNDARIES OF THE INVESTIGATION STEP 5 – DEVELOP THE DECISION RULES STEP 6 – ACCEPTABLE LIMITS ON DECISION ERRORS	.7 .7 .8 .8 .9
6	FIELDW	VORK1	0
7	QUALIT	TY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL1	3
8	RESULTS13		
9	SITE CONTAMINATION CHARACTERISATION13		
10	CONCLUSIONS14		
11	I LIMITATIONS14		
REFERENCES15			
GLO	SSARY.	1	5



#### **APPENDIX A**

DRAWING

#### **APPENDIX B**

HISTORICAL PHOTOGRAPHS

#### APPENDIX C

**REGISTERED GROUNDWATER WELL INFORMATION** 

#### APPENDIX D

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

#### APPENDIX E

SCREENING LEVELS AND GUIDELINES

#### APPENDIX F

FIELD SHEETS

#### **APPENDIX G**

**QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEW AND LABORATORY REPORT SHEETS** 

#### **APPENDIX H**

SUMMARY OF RESULTS



RCA ref 14872-401/1

9 June 2020

Darkinjung Local Aboriginal Land Council C/- Barr Property and Planning 92 Young Street Carrington NSW 2294

Attention: Mr Andrew Donald

Geotechnical Engineering Engineering Geology Environmental Engineering Hydrogeology Construction Materials Testing Environmental Monitoring Sound & Vibration Occupational Hygiene

#### PRELIMINARY SITE (CONTAMINATION) ASSESSMENT LOTS 512 AND 513 DP 727686 KARIONG

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the findings of a preliminary site (contamination) assessment undertaken at Lots 512 and 513, DP 727686, Kariong. These Lots are adjacent to each other and is herein referred to as the 'site'.

The site which is currently vacant undeveloped bushland is proposed to be developed for residential use, with a portion remaining as an Environmental Conservation zone. The objective of this assessment is to identify potential contamination at the site and determine the suitability for residential development.

The assessment has been undertaken at the request of Barr and Property Planning on behalf of Darkinjung Local Aboriginal Land Council.

#### 2 SITE IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION

The site is comprised of two (2) Lots:

- Lot 512, DP 727686 148 Woy Woy Road Kariong.
- Lot 513, DP 727686 2/16 Brittany Close, Kariong.

Both Lot 512 and Lot 513 span across the proposed residential area and the proposed Environmental Conservation zone.

Additional site details are shown in Table 1.

Table 1	Site Details

Current zoning (Ref [1]) Proposed zoning (Ref [2])	E2 – Environmental Conservation E2 – Environmental Conservation
Current use Proposed use	The site is currently unoccupied bushland. Proposed use: 6.2ha of the northern portion for proposed residential use, southern portion to remain as unoccupied Environmental Conservation zone.
Size of site	13.2ha
Land use to the: North	The site is bound to the north by residential properties.
South	The site is bound to the south by Brisbane Water National Park.
East	The site is bound to the east by Brisbane Water National Park.
West	The site is bounded to the west by Woy Woy Road and then by residential properties.
Nearest sensitive receptor (human health)	Residential properties located along Jeniwa Close and Woy Woy Road to the north and west. The rear of the Jeniwa Close properties are adjacent to the site.
Nearest sensitive receptor (environmental)	Brisbane Water National Park located adjacent to the site.

Drawing 1, Appendix A shows the locality and the layout of the site.

# 3 SITE HISTORY AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION

# 3.1 SITE NOTIFICATIONS

The Section 10.7 Planning Certificate as specified under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (Schedule 4) includes information associated with any restrictions for the use of the land.

Information relevant to this obtained from the 10.7 certificates and relevant to the site is contained in **Table 2**.

Part 2 relevant Information	Multiple SEPPs apply to the site.
	• The following land uses are permitted with consent: bed and breakfast accommodation; environmental facilities, environmental protection works, oyster aquaculture, dwelling houses, home occupations, recreation areas, roads and water storage facilities.
	• The land <b>does not</b> specify minimum land dimensions for the erection of a dwelling-house.
	• The land <b>is not</b> known to include or comprise critical habitat.
	• The land <b>is not</b> located within a heritage conservation area.
	<ul> <li>The land <b>is not</b> known to have located on it an item of environmental heritage.</li> </ul>
	• The land <b>is not</b> within a proclaimed Mine Subsidence District under the <i>Coal Mine Mine Subsidence Compensation Act</i> 2017.
	• The land <b>is not</b> affected by any road widening or realignment proposals.
	<ul> <li>The land may be affected by restrictions of development because of the likelihood of land slip.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The land is not subject to flood related development controls.</li> </ul>
	The land <b>is not</b> reserved for acquisition.
	The land <b>is not</b> biodiversity land under Part 8 of the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016.
	• The land <b>is not</b> biodiversity stewardship site under Part 5 of the <i>Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016.</i>
	• The land <b>is not</b> a set aside area under section 60ZC of the <i>Local Land Services Act 2013</i> , unless otherwise stated in the certificate.
	The site is located within bushfire prone land.
	<ul> <li>The land is not subject to a property vegetation plan approved under Part 4 of the Native Vegetation Act 2003.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The land is not subject to an order made under the Trees (Disputes Between Neighbours) Act 2006 to carry out work in relation to a tree on the land.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>There are <b>no</b> directions under Part 3A which apply to the land.</li> </ul>
	• The land <b>is not</b> within land declared to be significantly contaminated land, subject to a management order, subject of an approved voluntary management proposal, subject of an ongoing maintenance order or subject to a site audit statement within the meaning of the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997, unless otherwise stated in the certificate.
Part 5 relevant Information	<ul> <li>The property is subject to approval by Council for on-site sewage management and limitations and restrictions may apply for all future developments.</li> </ul>

Table 2Planning Advice Contained in the 10.7 Certificate – Lot 512 and Lot 513



RCA undertook a search of the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) heritage register (http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/heritageapp/heritagesearch.aspx) for Kariong and identified that there are two (2) Aboriginal Places listed under the National Parks and Wildlife Act. The Kariong Sacred Land rock engraving is located approximately 500m south of the site and the Bulgandry art site is located 900 south-west. There were no items listed under the NSW Heritage Act; however, there are eighteen (18) records listed by Local Government and State Agencies. These records were generally for local schools, parks, sporting fields, gardens, residential buildings, remanent farm buildings, a water reservoir and amenity buildings. These records are not considered to have the potential to impact on or be impacted by activities at the site.

RCA undertook a search of the Department of Environment and Energy heritage register (http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage/publications/australian-heritage-database) for Kariong and identified one (1) Aboriginal Place on the State Heritage Register. The location was not provided on the register; however, Aboriginal Places are not considered to pose a risk of contamination impact to the site.

# 3.2 HISTORICAL PHOTOGRAPHS

RCA reviewed historical aerial photographs and **Table 3** summarises the observations at the site and the surrounding environment.

1965	The site appears vacant with sparse vegetation running from the west to east of the centre of the site. Woy Woy Road is established as is a dirt road, which matches the current alignment of Milyerra Road. There appears to be two (2) residential properties to the north of the site; however, the majority of the northern area is bushland. To the west on the western side of Woy Woy Road, there are large cleared blocks of land with a few residential properties. The east and west of the site remains vacant bushland.
1976	The site remains vacant, although there the density of vegetation has decreased particularly within the north western and south eastern areas of the site. A track is visible running across the centre of the site from west to east. There does not appear to be any other signficant changes since the 1965 photograph.
1984	The site continues to remain vacant with no significant changes to vegetation. Bushland to north west of the site has been cleared and shows the construction of roads for residential development. There is one water tank located adjacent the north-eastern boundary of the site. There are no other significant changes since the 1976 photograph.
1994	The site continues to remain vacant; however, there are an additional tracks running through the site conentrated in the north western area of the site. The vegetation density has increased on the site, when compared to the 1984 photograph. Residential development to the north and north-west has increased significantly. There is a residential property adjacent to to the northern boundary. The large vacant blocks to the west have also been converted to medium-density residential developments. There is an additional large water tank and building located adjacent to the water tank along the north-eastern boundary of the site in the 1984 photograph.
1998	The site remains vacant bushland. There is evidence of clearing and increased establishment of a residential properties adjacent to the northern boundary. The Fire Station to the south-west of the site has been established.

Table 3	Aerial Photograph Review
I able J	Acharinologiaphineview



2007	The site remains vacant, vegetation density appears to have increased; however, a small cleared area is visible along the eastern boundary. There is an additional residential house along the northern boundary of the site, with evidence of vegetation clearing on the site directly south of the residential property. There are no other significant changes since the 1998 photograph.
2015	Evidence of increased vegetation clearing within the north-eastern area of the site south of the residential property. The site remains vacant, the vegetation density continues to increase. There are no other significant changes since the 2007 photograph.
2020	The site remains vacant, there is evidence of clearing of vegetation in the northern area of the site, adjacent to the residential properties. There are no other significant changes since the 2015 photograph.

Reviewed documentation is included in Appendix B.

#### 3.3 CONTAMINATED LAND PUBLIC RECORD

RCA undertook search of NSW EPA а the public lands register (http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/publicregister/) and did not find any record of Environment Protection licences, applications, notices, audits or pollution studies and reduction programmes applicable to the site. There are six (6) records for Environment Protection licences in the Gosford area; however, these are all greater than 3km from the site and are not considered likely to impact the site.

RCA undertook a search of sites notified to the NSW EPA as potentially requiring regulation (http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/clm/publiclist.htm as updated 27 May 2020) and confirmed that the site is not notified. There are two (2) sites listed on the register within the suburb of Kariong, both service stations: located at 6 Central Coast Highway and Lot 2 Langford Drive. The register states that regulation under the Contaminated Land Management (CLM) Act is not required for either site. The closest site is 500m north-west of the site and is not considered to have the potential to impact the site. Both sites are shown on **Drawing 1**, **Appendix A**.

RCA undertook a search of the NSW EPA gasworks database (http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/clm/gasworkslocation.htm) and determined that there are no known gasworks within vicinity of the site.

RCA undertook a search of the NSW Department of Primary Industries (NSW DPI) register for cattle dip sites (http://www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/animals-and-livestock/beef-cattle/health-anddisease/parasititic-and-protozoal-diseases/ticks/cattle-dip-site-locator) and determined that there are no known cattle dip sites on site or within vicinity of the site.

RCA undertook a search of the Department of Defence register for unexploded ordnance (http://www.defence.gov.au/UXO/WhereIs.asp) and determined that there are no known unexploded ordnance on site or within vicinity of the site.

RCA undertook a search of the Department of Industry mapping of naturally occurring asbestos

(https://trade.maps.arcgis.com/apps/PublicInformation/index.html?appid=87434b6ec7dd4aba8cb664d8e646fb06) and determined that there are no known point occurrences or geological units with medium to high asbestos potential.



# 3.4 GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

RCA reviewed published geological and hydrogeological maps and summarised the findings in **Table 4**.

Soil type	The Gosford 1:100,000 Geology Map states that the site contains: Hawkesbury Sandstone: medium to coarse grained quartz sandstone, minor laminated mudstone and siltstone lenses.	
Acid sulfate soil	The Gosford Acid Sulfate Soil Risk Map indicates that the site is situated in an area with no known occurrence of acid sulfate soils.	
Groundwater use	No groundwater use is currently known to be undertaken at the site.	
Number of monitoring wells on site	There are six (6) registered groundwater wells on the site (Ref [3]) within the Kariong area, the closest bore is located approximately 100m west from the site.	
Depth to groundwater	Groundwater information for groundwater bores located within the Kariong area indicates that the standing water level ranged from 1.8 – 45 metres below the surface.	
Estimated Groundwater flow direction	Unknown, presumed to be east towards Brisbane Water.	
Background water quality	Unknown.	

Table 4	Geology and Hydrogeology
	Ocology and right ogeology

The groundwater information is attached in Appendix C.

# 3.5 INTEGRITY ASSESSMENT

Information obtained from the 10.7 Certificates is presumed to be accurate; however, is limited to information Council has obtained and documented.

Information obtained from aerial photography is limited in that it only provides a snapshot of the site in time. RCA considers that adequate coverage was achieved for this investigation with aerials available from every decade from 1960 – 2020.

Overall RCA considers that the site history review is adequate to provide a general understanding of the past nature of land use.

# 4 PRELIMINARY CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

Based on RCA's understanding of the site, the potential contamination, exposure pathways, and receptors are considered as follows:

- Illegal dumping at the site
  - This may have resulted in surface contamination of soil. The contaminants of concern are considered to be hydrocarbons, metals, and asbestos.
  - On site-risks associated with this material are considered limited to direct exposure by ingestion, inhalation or dermal contact.
  - Offsite risks are considered insignificant, although there is potential for minimal migration of soil fines offsite via wind or stormwater.



The risk of potential contamination is considered to be minor. Soil sampling would enable identification of any potential contamination issues.

# 5 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL QUALITY PLAN

No formal sampling and analytical quality plan was prepared for the works however the following sections provides detail and rationale regarding the scope of works undertaken.

# 5.1 STEP 1 – STATE THE PROBLEM

Based on the preliminary conceptual site model, there is considered to be potential for hydrocarbons, metals and asbestos contamination arising from illegal dumping. Identification and characterisation of the potential contamination at the site is required to determine whether the site is suitable for the proposed development for residential use.

# 5.2 STEP 2 – IDENTIFY THE GOALS AND DECISIONS

The key uncertainty that the investigation attempts to address was:

• Has illegal dumping been undertaken at the site to an extent that there is a risk of contamination which may limit the proposed development of the site?

In order to resolve the uncertainty, decisions were to be made as to identify the presence and significance of potential contamination on the site. The specific decisions to be made are as follows:

- Investigate the potential for past and present contamination sources.
- Determine the nature of contamination.
- Determine the potential and actual contaminant migration routes.
- Assess whether further investigation or management is required.

# 5.3 STEP 3 – IDENTIFY INPUTS TO THE DECISIONS

The specific types of information needed to resolve the decision statement(s) in Step 2 are noted as follows:

- Adequate site history review.
- Adequate conceptual site model.
- Analytical data for the collected samples.
- Appropriate assessment criteria for the media being investigated and the proposed land use.
- Appropriate laboratory methods.

The ASC NEPM (Ref [4]) document has been approved by the NSW EPA for use on potentially contaminated sites and supersedes most of the preceding reference documents.



Best practise in alignment with council's requirements under SEPP55 (Ref [5]) prescribes assessment on the basis of the most sensitive allowable site use. Currently the site is undeveloped bushland and, based on information provided to RCA Australia, the proposed use consists of residential with accessible soil. RCA therefore considers the criteria as defined for the residential with soil access for the portion of the site proposed for residential use (HIL A) and open space (HIL C) for the portion of the site to remain as Environmental Conservation zone for assessment of human health risk from the soil at the site. The ecological risk has been assessed under the criteria defined for areas of urban residential and public open space (URPOS) for the residential area and area of ecological significance (AES) for the Environmental Conservation zone.

Full details of the relevant guidelines are included in Appendix E.

# 5.4 STEP 4 – DEFINE THE BOUNDARIES OF THE INVESTIGATION

The horizontal extent of the assessment has been defined by the cadastral lot boundaries as shown on the site plan (**Drawing 1**, **Appendix A**) and was interpreted in the field based on buildings and with the aid of hand-held GPS.

The vertical extent of the assessment was defined to the surface soils based on the consideration that potential contamination was limited to illegal waste dumping.

Practical constraints that could have interfered with sampling included:

- Density of vegetation preventing access to certain areas of the site.
- Fences.

# 5.5 STEP 5 – DEVELOP THE DECISION RULES

The Data Quality Indicators (DQI) that were implemented for the project are detailed in **Table 5**.



DQI	Determined by	Criteria	
Accuracy	Internal – surrogates, laboratory control samples, matrix spikes, method blanks.	Surrogate, LCS, spike - recovery data to be 70-130%. Blanks – results to be < PQL.	
Precision	Internal – laboratory duplicates	<ul> <li>RPD of duplicates:</li> <li>50% RPD at concentration levels greater than ten times the PQL.</li> <li>75% RPD at concentrations between five to ten times the PQL.</li> <li>100% RPD at concentration levels between two and five times the PQL.</li> <li>Where concentration levels are less than two times the PQL, the Absolute Difference (AD) shall be calculated. Data will be considered acceptable if the AD &lt;2.5 times the PQL.</li> </ul>	
Completeness	The percentage of completed data points, taking in account consideration of other DQI.	95%	
Representativeness	Whether there has been sufficient sampling by appropriate methodology with relevant analysis to determine that the assessment is representative of the site conditions.		
Comparability	<ul> <li>All samples collected during this sampling programme will be obtained by adequately trained RCA personnel using consistent sampling methodologies throughout the project.</li> <li>All samples must be received by the laboratory cool and appropriately preserved for the requested analysis with sufficient time within the specified holding time.</li> <li>All laboratory analyses will be conducted by NATA accredited methodologies that comply with the international standard methods.</li> <li>Comparable analytes such as total recoverably hydrocarbons (TRH) TRH, Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene (BTEX), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and metals should show some concurrence between analytical results and to identified field observations.</li> </ul>		

 Table 5
 Data Quality Indicators Implemented for the Assessment

# 5.6 STEP 6 – ACCEPTABLE LIMITS ON DECISION ERRORS

The following lists the basis of assessment of the useability of the data if not accordance with the DQI:

- Closeness of the result to the guideline concentrations.
- Specific contaminant of concern (eg, response to carcinogens may be more conservative).
- The area of site in question and the potential lateral and vertical extent of questionable information.
- Whether the uncertainty can be effectively managed by site management controls.



If any data validation procedures or criteria identified were not followed or met, this will have constituted a non-conformance. The significance of the non-conformance will have determined if rectification was required.

# 5.7 STEP 7 – OPTIMISATION OF THE DESIGN OF THE COLLECTION OF DATA

The proposed scope of work was to inspect the site to identify visual and/or olfactory evidence of contamination and collect targeted surface soil samples in the vicinity of suspected contamination. Samples were to be collected directly from hand tools at all locations.

Samples were to be analysed for hydrocarbons, metals and/or asbestos, dependent on the waste types identified at the sampling location.

Samples were to be stored in the field in an insulated container on ice and sent to the laboratory within 48 hours of sampling under Chain of Custody (COC) documentation.

ALS was chosen as the primary analysing laboratory for all analyses due to its NATA accreditation and experience with potentially contaminated soil.

The scope is summarised in Table 6.

Table 6Sampling Strategy

Contaminating activity	Potential Contaminants of Concern	Sampling Strategy	Rationale for Sampling Strategy and Sampling Locations
Illegal dumping of waste material.	Total recoverable hydrocarbons (TRH), benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene (BTEX), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), metals and asbestos.	Targeted collection of surface soil samples when there was visual evidence of contamination. Collection of soil samples at 0.1-0.2m for soil stockpiles. Collection of any potential asbestos containing materials located on the site.	Due to the large area of the site and limited potential for contaminating activities sampling locations were chosen based on visual identification of potentially contaminating material and waste was considered to be most suitable for the purpose of the preliminary assessment.

# 6 FIELDWORK

An environmental engineer experienced in the handling of potentially contaminated soil undertook the fieldwork 14 May 2020. The scope of work included:

- A site inspection. This comprised approximately six (6) hours of traversing by vehicle, down the main track which partially intersects the site from the access gate towards Woy Woy Road, and by foot in areas which could be accessed. Drawing 1, Appendix A identifies the area which could not be inspected due to dense vegetation in the proposed residential area. Vegetation within the proposed Environmental Conservation zone was also very dense, as such inspections could only be undertaken from designated walking trails, which are shown in Drawing 1, Appendix A. Observations made during the inspection are detailed in Table 7.
- The collection of sixteen (16) soil samples from twelve (12) locations on the site:



- Twelve (10) surface soil samples were collected from the proposed residential area between depths of 0.05 0.1m below the existing surface using a hand auger.
- Six (6) soil samples were collected between depths of 0.1 0.2m into the surface of soil stockpiles, from a total of four (4) stockpile locations. Stockpiles were located within the proposed residential area.
- Analysis of nine (9) soil samples from nine (9) locations.
  - Eight (8) samples were analysed for TRH, BTEX, PAH, metals and asbestos.
  - One (1) sample was analysed for TRH, BTEX, PAH and metals.

The analysis of these samples was considered adequate to provide an indication of the encountered materials, the remaining seven (7) samples were collected from stockpiles of similar materials.

- The collection of three (3) material samples from three (3) locations.
  - One (1) sample of potential asbestos containing material was submitted for analysis.
  - Two (2) samples of building insulation were collected from two (2) locations. Samples were inspected by personnel qualified in undertaking hazardous material audits.

Table 7	General Site Conditions and	Observations

Topography	The site topography		
Site condition	The site comprised predominantly of dense bushland.		
Condition of Building and	There were no buildings or formal roads on the site. There are two (2) dirt tracks in the northern portion of the site. The southern portion of the site contained dirt walking tracks which are accessible by foot via Brisbane Water National Park.		
roads	A gravel/asphalt road ring road was noted behind one of the properties outside the property boundary as shown in <b>Drawing 1</b> , <b>Appendix A</b> .		



	Insulation material was noted at two (2) locations, shown in <b>Photograph 1</b> and <b>Photograph 14</b> . Geofabric material was also identified installed in a small creek (refer <b>Photograph 2</b> ).				
Presence of drums or waste	In the northern area of the site, there were a number of tree/branch stockpiles, which may be due to clearing of vegetation undertaken in the area based on changes in vegetation noted in aerial photography (refer <b>Photograph 3</b> ). Two (2) small (<5m <sup>3</sup> ) stockpiles were also observed (refer <b>Photograph 4</b> and <b>Photograph 5</b> ). A soil stockpile was also identified underneath a tree and branch stockpile (refer <b>Photograph 6</b> ). In close proximity to the stockpiles was a truck trailer, raised off the ground with drums ( <b>Photograph 7</b> ).				
	It was noted during the inspection that one of the residential properties was unfenced, and items such as a boat, cardboard boxes, whitegoods are situated within the site. These items are located in close proximity to the asphalt road constructed on the site. The asphalt road starts from the rear of an unfenced residential property as shown in <b>Drawing 1</b> .				
	Other small building waste materials were noted along the northern site boundary such as tin, bricks, terracotta roof tiles, concrete and timber as well as tyres (refer <b>Photographs 8 – 13</b> ).				
Visual Signs of contamination	There were no visual signs of contamination noted with the exception of the waste materials.				
Signs of erosion	There were no obvious signs of erosion; however, is noted that large areas of the site were inaccessible due to the dense vegetation.				
Identification of potential asbestos bearing materials	One (1) small piece of suspected asbestos containing material was identified in a soil stockpile and was submitted to the laboratory for asbestos identification testing.				
Visible signs of plant stress	There were minimal signs of plant distress noted during the inspection. Plant distress is considered to be attributed to recent prolonged drought conditions.				
Odours noticeable on site	There were no noticeable odours on site.				
Evidence of current or former petroleum facilities	There was no evidence of former petroleum facilities on, or within the immediate vicinity of, the site.				
Chemicals stored on site	No chemicals were observed at the site however it is considered that there is potential for chemicals to have been stored on site from the property owner that has stored items extending from their property boundary.				
Evidence of waste burial: (anecdotal or otherwise)	No evidence of waste burial was observed however cannot be discounted at least within proximity to the residential properties based on the placement of other waste at the site.				

The location of photographs taken during the site inspection are shown on **Drawing 1**, **Appendix A** and attached in **Appendix D**.

Field sheets are attached in **Appendix F**. Due to the dense vegetation, some areas of the site were inaccessible for inspection; however, due it is considered unlikely for illegal dumping to have occurred within these areas due difficulties entering these locations, particularly by vehicles.



# 7 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL

RCA has assessed the quality assurance and control in **Appendix G** and found it to be acceptable for the purpose of site assessment.

# 8 RESULTS

All soil results are compared to the relevant criteria in **Appendix H**. All soil samples were collected from the proposed residential area, such the following presents a comparison to the HILA A and URPOS criteria. The following section present a summary.

- BTEX concentrations were not detected and as such are considered below the relevant criteria (Ref [4]).
- TRH concentrations were not detected or were detected at low concentrations below the human health criteria (Ref [4]).
  - Two (2) samples (S10 and S15) reported TRH C<sub>16-34</sub> concentrations in excess of the ecological criterion (Ref [4]).
- There were no metals concentrations in excess of the health and ecological criteria (Ref [4]).
- There was no asbestos detected in any soil samples.
- The material sample submitted for identification of asbestos was identified to contain chrysotile asbestos.

# 9 SITE CONTAMINATION CHARACTERISATION

The NSW EPA guidelines (Ref [6]) recommend dividing sites greater than 5ha for purpose of assessment; a minimum of 55 sampling points is required for sites 5ha in size. The assessment of soil at nine (9) locations on the site is considered to be less than the recommended minimum by NSW EPA guidelines (Ref [6]) for a site of 13.2ha. The site is vacant and unused, as such the targeted sampling was undertaken based on evidence of contamination and/or waste materials and is considered appropriate for the preliminary nature of the assessment.

No indications of contamination beyond the waste was observed and samples were collected of soil within all identified stockpiles with a sample of each type of stockpile analysed for potential contaminants of concern. Suspected asbestos containing material (ACM) was observed in one (1) stockpile only; however, asbestos was included in the analytical suite for all soil samples. Soil samples were only collected from the area of the site proposed for residential use. There was no illegal dumping identified in the proposed Environment Conservation zone; while RCA's access was limited as the vegetation was very dense in this area it is considered that the vegetation would make the area inaccessible to vehicles also which would reduce the potential for illegal dumping.



Asbestos containing material was identified within one (1) small stockpile located in the northern portion of the site (refer **Photograph 5** and location S6, **Drawing 1**, **Appendix A**). The soil sample submitted for analysis from this stockpile reported nil detections of asbestos fibres and as such RCA consider that the identified ACM fragment is not friable. There is potential for further ACM to be present within this small stockpile and potentially within other stockpiles in the area.

Two (2) locations in the northern portion (proposed residential area) of the site reported TRH concentrations in excess of the ecological criteria (Ref [4]). One (1) sample was collected from a very small brick/rubbish stockpile and the second from a timber stockpile. As the location of these stockpiles are adjacent to a residential property boundary, the impact to ecology in this area is minimal. These elevated TRH concentrations are not considered likely to pose a constraint to the proposed residential development.

No contamination which may pose a risk to human health was identified in any of the soil samples. In combination with the site history review, RCA considers that there has been adequate assessment to consider there are no significant constraints to the proposed residential development. The identified waste material will have to be fully classified before removal to a licensed waste facility or be assessed for suitability for use at the site.

# 10 CONCLUSIONS

This report has presented the findings of a preliminary site (contamination) assessment undertaken at Lots 512 and 513, DP727686, Kariong.

The assessment consisted of a site inspection and targeted soil sampling. Analysis of nine (9) soil samples from nine (9) locations was undertaken for potential contaminants of concern (TRH, BTEX, PAH, metals and asbestos). Four (4) small stockpiles were sampled and ACM material was identified within one (1) stockpile. All samples were collected from the proposed residential zone, as no suspected contamination or illegal dumping of waste was identified within the proposed Environmental Conservation zone.

Concentrations within soil samples are not considered to pose a risk to human health. There were two (2) locations within the proposed residential zone that reported TRH concentrations in excess of the ecological criteria. As these locations were outside the proposed Environmental Conservation zone, they are not considered to pose a constraint to the development.

RCA considers based on the current assessment that the site conditions at the site are suitable for the proposed residential zone and Environmental Conservation zone. Further assessment of waste will be required prior to its disposal and additional assessment may be required in the event that waste or indications of contamination are identified during or following vegetation clearance.

# 11 LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared for Darkinjung Local Aboriginal Land Council in accordance with an agreement with RCA Australia (RCA) dated 8 May 2020. The services performed by RCA have been conducted in a manner consistent with that generally exercised by members of its profession and consulting practice.



This report has been prepared for the sole use of Darkinjung Local Aboriginal Land Council. The report may not contain sufficient information for purposes of other uses or for parties other than Darkinjung Local Aboriginal Land. This report shall only be presented in full and may not be used to support objectives other than those stated in the report without written permission from RCA Australia.

The information in this report is considered accurate at the date of issue with regard to the current conditions of the site. Conditions can vary across any site that cannot be explicitly defined by investigation.

Environmental conditions including contaminant concentrations can change in a limited period of time. This should be considered if the report is used following a significant period of time after the date of issue.

Yours faithfully

RCA AUSTRALIA

Carmen Rocher Environmental Engineer

brooker

Fiona Brooker Environmental Services Manager

# REFERENCES

- [1] Gosford Local Environment Plan 2014 under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, published 17 April 2020.
- [2] Draft Central Coast Local Environment Plan, currently deferred.
- [3] Water NSW, All Groundwater Map, https://realtimedata.waternsw.com.au/water.stm, accessed 28 May 2020.
- [4] NEPC, National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure, 1999 as amended 2013.
- [5] Department of Urban Affairs and Planning, *State Environmental Planning Policy* (*SEPP*): *Remediation of Land*, August 1998.
- [6] NSW EPA, Sampling Design Guidelines, September 1995.

# GLOSSARY

ASC NEPM	National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure.
EIL	Ecological investigation level. Relates to soil concentrations which may pose a risk to ecological health.
ESL	Ecological screening level. Relates to vapour risk from petroleum hydrocarbons which may pose a risk to ecological health.



HIL	Health investigation level. Relates to soil concentrations which may pose a risk to human health in soil.
HSL	Health screening level. Relates to the vapour risk from petroleum hydrocarbons which may pose a risk to human health in soil.
In-Situ	In place, without excavation.
Interlaboratory	A sample sent to two different laboratories for comparative analysis.
Intralaboratory	A sample split into two and sent blind to the sample laboratory for comparative analysis.
ISL	Investigation screening levels for soil. Comprised of HIL/EIL and HSL/ESL
kg	kilogram, 1000 gram.
LEP	Local environment plan. A planning tool for the Local Government.
μg	microgram, 1/1000 milligram.
mg	milligram, 1/1000 gram.
NSW EPA	NSW Environment Protection Authority – formerly a component of DECC, DECCW, OEH but made a separate entity in 2011 to regulates the contaminated land industry.
OEH	NSW Office of Environment and Heritage.
Pathogen	An organism capable of causing disease.
Phytotoxicity	Poisonous to, or inhibiting, plant growth.
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit.
QA	Quality Assurance.
QC	Quality Control.
RPD	Relative Percentage Difference.
Vadose Zone	Unsaturated zone of soil above the groundwater, extending from
Chemical Compounds	
BTEX	Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene.
РАН	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Multi-ring compounds found in fuels, oils and creosote. These are also common combustion products.
PCB	Poly chlorinated biphenyls.
TRH	Total recoverable hydrocarbons
TSS	Total suspended solids.



# Appendix A

Drawing





20/









# Appendix B

**Historical Photographs** 

















# Appendix C

**Registered Groundwater Well Information** 





# Groundwater Bore Search

Contact: geoscience.products@planning.nsw.gov.au Date Saved: 02/06/2020 02:08 500m

Disclaimer

Because of advances in knowledge, users are reminded of the need to ensure that information upon which they rely is up to date. The information contained in this publication may not be or may no longer be aligned with government policy nor does the publication indicate or imply government policy. No warrantly about the accuracy, currency or completeness of any information contained in this document is inferred (including, without limitation, any information in the document provided by third parties). While all reasonable care has been taken in the compilation, to the extent permitted by law, the State of New South Wales (including the NSW Department of Planning and Environment) exclude all liability for the accuracy or completeness of the information, or any injury, loss, or damage whatsoever (including without limitation liability for negligence and consequential losses) suffered by any person acting, or purporting to act, in reliance upon anything contained herein. Users should rely upon their own advice, skills, interpretation and experience in applying information contained in this publication.

© State of NSW through Department of Planning & Environment, 2019. Information in this publication is based on knowledge and understanding at the time of writing and may not be accurate, current or complete.

# WaterNSW Work Summary

#### GW013660

Licence:	20WA204086	Licence Status:	CURRENT
		Authorised Purpose(s): Intended Purpose(s):	DOMESTIC DOMESTIC
Work Type:	Well		
Work Status:			
Construct.Method:			
Owner Type:	Private		
Commenced Date: Completion Date:	01/02/1958	Final Depth: Drilled Depth:	3.80 m 3.80 m
Contractor Name:	(None)		
Driller:			
Assistant Driller:			
Property:	N/A 29 Woy Woy Rd KARIONG 2250	Standing Water Level	
GWMA: GW Zone:	606 - MANGROVE MOUNTAIN 608 - MOONEY MOONEY AND MULLET CREEKS GROUNDWATER SOURCE	Salinity Description: Yield (L/s):	

#### **Site Details**

Site Chosen By:

	Form A: Licensed:	County NORTHUMBERLAND NORTHUMBERLAND	<b>Parish</b> PATON PATONGA	Cadastre 158 Whole Lot 2//241106
Region: 10 - Sydney South Coast	CMA Map:	9131-2S		
River Basin: 212 - HAWKESBURY RIVER Area/District:	Grid Zone:		Scale:	
Elevation: 0.00 m (A.H.D.) Elevation (Unknown) Source:	Northing: Easting:	6298964.000 341613.000	Latitude: Longitude:	33°26'13.3"S 151°17'46.1"E
GS Map: -	MGA Zone:	56	Coordinate Source:	GD.,ACC.MAP

#### Construction

Negative depths indicate Above Ground Level; C-Cemented; SL-Slot Length; A-Aperture; GS-Grain Size; Q-Quantity; PL-Placement of Gravel Pack; PC-Pressure Cemented; S-Sump; CE-Centralisers

Hole	Pipe	Component	Туре	From (m)	To (m)	Outside Diameter (mm)	Inside Diameter (mm)	Interval	Details
1	1	Casing	Concrete Cylnder	-0.40	3.40	1371			Seated

#### Water Bearing Zones

From (m)	To (m)	Thickness (m)	WBZ Туре	S.W.L. (m)	D.D.L. (m)	Yield (L/s)	Hole Depth (m)	Duration (hr)	Salinity (mg/L)
3.50	3.50	0.00	Fractured	1.80		0.06			

#### **Drillers Log**

From (m)	To (m)	Thickness (m)	Drillers Description	Geological Material	Comments
0.00	1.21	1.21	Sand	Sand	
1.21	3.20	1.99	Clay Shale	Clay	
3.20	3.81	0.61	Rock Water Supply	Rock	

#### Remarks

30/06/1982: LOT 2 WOY WOY RD KARIONG

\*\*\* End of GW013660 \*\*\*

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the WaterNSW by drillers, licensees and other sources. WaterNSW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

# WaterNSW Work Summary

#### GW029948

Licence:	20WA204093	Licence Status:	CURRENT
	A	Authorised Purpose(s): Intended Purpose(s):	DOMESTIC DOMESTIC
Work Type:	Bore open thru rock		
Work Status:			
Construct.Method:	Cable Tool		
Owner Type:	Private		
Commenced Date: Completion Date:	01/11/1968	Final Depth: Drilled Depth:	76.20 m 76.20 m
Contractor Name:	(None)		
Driller:			
Assistant Driller:			
Property:	N/A 2 Mowbray Palce KARIONG 2250 NSW	Standing Water Level (m):	
GWMA: GW Zone:	606 - MANGROVE MOUNTAIN 003 - BRISBANE WATER GROUNDWATER SOURCE	Salinity Description: Yield (L/s):	Good

#### Site Details

Site Chosen By:

		Form A: Licensed:	<b>County</b> NORTHUMBERLAND NORTHUMBERLAND	<b>Parish</b> PATON PATONGA	Cadastre 368 Whole Lot 4//1034646
Region: 1	0 - Sydney South Coast	CMA Map:	9131-2S		
River Basin: 2 Area/District:	12 - HAWKESBURY RIVER	Grid Zone:		Scale:	
Elevation: 0 Elevation (l Source:	.00 m (A.H.D.) Jnknown)	Northing: Easting:	6298354.000 342011.000	Latitude: Longitude:	33°26'33.3"S 151°18'01.1"E
GS Map: -		MGA Zone:	56	Coordinate Source:	GD.,ACC.MAP

#### Construction

Negative depths indicate Above Ground Level; C-Cemented; SL-Slot Length; A-Aperture; GS-Grain Size; Q-Quantity; PL-Placement of Gravel Pack; PC-Pressure Cemented; S-Sump; CE-Centralisers

Hole	Pipe	Component	Туре	From (m)	To (m)	Outside Diameter (mm)	Inside Diameter (mm)	Interval	Details
1	1	Casing	P.V.C.	-0.10	2.90	127			Suspended in Clamps

#### Water Bearing Zones

From (m)	To (m)	Thickness (m)	WBZ Туре	S.W.L. (m)	D.D.L. (m)	Yield (L/s)	Hole Depth (m)	Duration (hr)	Salinity (mg/L)
60	90 60.90	0.00	Consolidated	45.70		0.03			

#### **Drillers Log**

From (m)	To (m)	Thickness (m)	Drillers Description	Geological Material	Comments
0.00	3.04	3.04	Clay Sandy	Clay	
3.04	44.19	41.15	Sandstone	Sandstone	
44.19	44.80	0.61	Clay	Clay	
44.80	76.20	31.40	Sandstone Water Supply	Sandstone	
#### Remarks

07/08/1974: SITED MILYERA ST KARIONG

\*\*\* End of GW029948 \*\*\*

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the WaterNSW by drillers, licensees and other sources. WaterNSW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

### WaterNSW Work Summary

#### GW050096

Licence:		Licence Status:	
		Authorised Purpose(s): Intended Purpose(s):	IRRIGATION
Work Type:	Bore open thru rock		
Work Status:			
Construct.Method:	Rotary Air		
Owner Type:	Private		
Commenced Date: Completion Date:	01/02/1980	Final Depth: Drilled Depth:	106.80 m 106.80 m
Contractor Name:	(None)		
Driller:			
Assistant Driller:			
Property:		Standing Water Level (m):	
GWMA:		Salinity Description:	Good
GW Zone:		Yield (L/s):	
Site Details			
-			

#### Site Chosen By:

		Co Form A: No Licensed:	ounty ORTHUMBERLAND	<b>Parish</b> PATONGA	Cadastre L1 (157)
Region:	10 - Sydney South Coast	CMA Map: 97	131-2S		
River Basin: Area/District:	212 - HAWKESBURY RIVER	Grid Zone:		Scale:	
Elevation: Elevation Source:	0.00 m (A.H.D.) (Unknown)	Northing: 62 Easting: 34	298063.000 11163.000	Latitude: Longitude:	33°26'42.3"S 151°17'28.1"E
GS Map:	-	MGA Zone: 56	3	Coordinate Source:	GD.,ACC.MAP

#### Construction

Negative depths indicate Above Ground Level; C-Cemented; SL-Slot Length; A-Aperture; GS-Grain Size; Q-Quantity; PL-Placement of Gravel Pack; PC-Pressure Cemented; S-Sump; CE-Centralisers

Hole	Pipe	Component	Туре	From (m)	To (m)	Outside Diameter	Inside Diameter	Interval	Details
						(mm)	(mm)		
1	1	Casing	P.V.C.	0.00	6.00	150			Suspended in Clamps

#### Water Bearing Zones

Fr (m	rom 1)	To (m)	Thickness (m)	WBZ Туре	S.W.L. (m)	D.D.L. (m)	Yield (L/s)	Hole Depth (m)	Duration (hr)	Salinity (mg/L)
	58.00	59.00	1.00	Consolidated			0.38			
	76.00	100.00	24.00	Consolidated			1.52			

#### **Drillers Log**

From	То	Thickness	Drillers Description	Geological Material	Comments
(m)	(m)	(m)			
0.00	1.00	1.00	Soil	Soil	
1.00	4.00	3.00	Clay	Clay	
4.00	106.80	102.80	Sandstone Water Supply	Sandstone	

#### \*\*\* End of GW050096 \*\*\*

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the NSW Office of Water by drillers, licensees and other sources. The NOW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

GW060322.htm

### WaterNSW Work Summary

#### GW060322

Licence:		Licence Status:	
		Authorised Purpose(s): Intended Purpose(s):	IRRIGATION
Work Type:	Bore open thru rock		
Work Status:			
Construct.Method:	Rotary Air		
Owner Type:	Private		
Commenced Date: Completion Date:	01/01/1985	Final Depth: Drilled Depth:	114.00 m 114.00 m
Contractor Name:	(None)		
Driller:			
Assistant Driller:			
Property:		Standing Water Level	
GWMA:		Salinity Description:	Good
GW Zone:		Yield (L/s):	
Site Details			
Site Chosen By:			

		Form A: Licensed:	County NORTHUMBERLAND	<b>Parish</b> PATON	Cadastre L2 DP561417 (157)
Region: 10	- Sydney South Coast	CMA Map:	9131-2S		
River Basin: 212 Area/District:	- HAWKESBURY RIVER	Grid Zone:		Scale:	
Elevation: 0.00 Elevation (Un Source:	0 m (A.H.D.) known)	Northing: Easting:	6297911.000 341269.000	Latitude: Longitude:	33°26'47.3"S 151°17'32.1"E
GS Map: -	I	MGA Zone:	56	Coordinate Source:	GD.,ACC.MAP

#### Construction

Negative depths indicate Above Ground Level; C-Cemented; SL-Slot Length; A-Aperture; GS-Grain Size; Q-Quantity; PL-Placement of Gravel Pack; PC-Pressure Cemented; S-Sump; CE-Centralisers

Hole	Pipe	Component	Туре	From (m)	To (m)	Outside Diameter	Inside Diameter	Interval	Details
						(mm)	(mm)		
1	1	Casing	P.V.C.	0.00	6.00	150			Driven into Hole

#### Water Bearing Zones

From (m)		To (m)	Thickness (m)	WBZ Туре	S.W.L. (m)	D.D.L. (m)	Yield (L/s)	Hole Depth (m)	Duration (hr)	Salinity (mg/L)
75	5.00	77.00	2.00	(Unknown)			0.13			
100	0.00	102.00	2.00	(Unknown)			0.47			

#### **Drillers Log**

From (m)	To (m)	Thickness (m)	Drillers Description	Geological Material	Comments
0.00	1.00	1.00	Soil	Soil	
1.00	3.00	2.00	Clay	Clay	
3.00	114.00	111.00	Sandstone Water Supply	Sandstone	
3.00	114.00	111.00	Shale Some Thin Layers	Shale	

#### \*\*\* End of GW060322 \*\*\*

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the WaterNSW by drillers, licensees and other sources. WaterNSW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

### WaterNSW Work Summary

#### GW100623

Licence:	20WA218737	Licence Status:	CURRENT
		Authorised Purpose(s): Intended Purpose(s):	RECREATION (GROUNDWATER) RECREATION (GROU
Work Type:	Bore		
Work Status:	Supply Obtained		
Construct.Method:	Rotary		
Owner Type:	School		
Commenced Date: Completion Date:	09/07/1997	Final Depth: Drilled Depth:	114.00 m 114.00 m
Contractor Name:	JH ISELT PTY LTD		
Driller:	Paul John Iselt		
Assistant Driller:			
Property: GWMA: GW Zone:	N/A 48 Langford Drv KARIONG 2250 NSW 606 - MANGROVE MOUNTAIN 008 - MOONEY MOONEY AND MULLET CREEKS GROUNDWATER SOURCE	Standing Water Level (m): Salinity Description: Yield (L/s):	26.000 Fresh 1.130

#### Site Details

Site Chosen By:					
		Form A: Licensed:	<b>County</b> NORTHUMBERLAND NORTHUMBERLAND	<b>Parish</b> PATON PATONGA	<b>Cadastre</b> 510//705485 Whole Lot 510//705485
Region:	20 - Hunter	CMA Map:			
River Basin: Area/District:	- Unknown	Grid Zone:		Scale:	
Elevation: Elevation Source:	0.00 m (A.H.D.) Unknown	Northing: Easting:	6298453.000 340994.000	Latitude: Longitude:	33°26'29.6"S 151°17'21.8"E
GS Map:	-	MGA Zone:	56	Coordinate Source:	Unknown

#### Construction

Negative depths indicate Above Ground Level; C-Cemented; SL-Slot Length; A-Aperture; GS-Grain Size; Q-Quantity; PL-Placement of Gravel Pack; PC-Pressure Cemented; S-Sump; CE-Centralisers

Hole	Pipe	Component	Туре	From (m)	To (m)	Outside Diameter (mm)	Inside Diameter (mm)	Interval	Details
1		Hole	Hole	0.00	5.00	200			Rotary
1		Hole	Hole	5.00	14.00	150			Rotary
1	1	Casing	Pvc Class 9	-0.50	5.50				Driven into Hole

#### Water Bearing Zones

From (m)	To (m)	Thickness (m)	WBZ Туре	S.W.L. (m)	D.D.L. (m)	Yield (L/s)	Hole Depth (m)	Duration (hr)	Salinity (mg/L)
26.60	27.00	0.40	Unknown	22.00	30.00	0.05	30.00		
68.80	69.50	0.70	Unknown	30.00	75.00	0.13	75.00		
77.00	77.50	0.50	Unknown	30.00	85.00	0.30	85.00	01:00:00	
97.00	97.50	0.50	Unknown	26.00	114.00	0.65	114.00	01:00:00	

#### **Drillers** Log

	From	То	Thickness	Drillers Description	Geological Material	Comments	1
			I I	l l	I I		
$https://realtimedata.waternsw.com.au/wgen/users/8c78d701ddf144a6967076799d964454/gw100623.agagpf\_org.wsr.htm?1590622975451\&1590\dots$							

5/28/2020

https://realtimedata.waternsw.com.au/wgen/users/8c78d701ddf144a6967076799d964454/gw100623.agagpf\_org.wsr.htm?1590622...

(m)	(m)	(m)			
0.00	0.30	0.30	TOP SOIL	Topsoil	
0.30	0.70	0.40	LANDFILL	Fill	
0.70	1.00	0.30	CLAY, WHITE	Clay	
1.00	7.00	6.00	SANDSTONE, WHITE	Sandstone	
7.00	8.70	1.70	SANDSTONE, YELLOW	Sandstone	
8.70	15.20	6.50	SANDSTONE, WHITE	Sandstone	
15.20	26.60	11.40	SANDSTONE/IRON STONE	Sandstone	
26.60	27.00	0.40	SANDSTONE, WHITE, WITH BANDS	Sandstone	
27.00	29.00	2.00	SANDSTONE, WHITE	Sandstone	
29.00	36.50	7.50	SANSTONE, IRONSTONE	Sandstone	
36.50	68.80	32.30	SANDSTONE,WHITE	Sandstone	
68.80	69.50	0.70	SANDSTONE, YELLOW, WITH BANDS	Sandstone	
69.50	75.20	5.70	SANDSTONE, YELLOW	Sandstone	
75.20	77.00	1.80	IRONSTONE	Ironstone	
77.00	77.50	0.50	SANDSTONE, YELLOW, WITH BANDS	Sandstone	
77.50	82.50	5.00	SANDSTONE, YELLOW	Sandstone	
82.50	97.00	14.50	SANDSTONE, GREY	Sandstone	
97.00	97.50	0.50	SANDSTONE, GREY, WITH BANDS	Sandstone	
97.50	114.00	16.50	SANDSTONE, GREY	Sandstone	

#### Remarks

09/07/1997: Form A Remarks: REMARKS:

KARIONG PUBLIC SCHOOL, DETAILS TO BE SUPPLIED BY D.L.W.C.

22/01/2013: Nat Carling, 22-Jan-2013; Added rock type codes to driller's log & added missing information (based on existing data).

#### \*\*\* End of GW100623 \*\*\*

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the WaterNSW by drillers, licensees and other sources. WaterNSW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

### WaterNSW Work Summary

#### GW200310

Licence:	20WA204215	Licence Status:	CURRENT
		Authorised Purpose(s): Intended Purpose(s):	DOMESTIC DOMESTIC
Work Type: Work Status:	Bore		
Construct.Method: Owner Type:	Rotary Mud		
Commenced Date: Completion Date:	15/11/2006	Final Depth: Drilled Depth:	56.00 m 56.00 m
Contractor Name:	(None)		
Driller:	Lloyd Norman Whitsed		
Assistant Driller:			
Property:	N/A 109 Woy Woy Rd KARIONG 2250 NSW	Standing Water Level (m):	
GWMA: GW Zone:	606 - MANGROVE MOUNTAIN 008 - MOONEY MOONEY AND MULLET CREEKS GROUNDWATER SOURCE	Salinity Description: Yield (L/s):	0.200

#### **Site Details**

Site Chosen By:					
		Form A: Licensed:	<b>County</b> NORTHUMBERLAND NORTHUMBERLAND	<b>Parish</b> PATON PATONGA	<b>Cadastre</b> 1/849778 Whole Lot 1//849778
Region:	20 - Hunter	CMA Map:			
River Basin: Area/District:	- Unknown	Grid Zone:		Scale:	
Elevation: Elevation Source:	0.00 m (A.H.D.) Unknown	Northing: Easting:	6297963.000 341085.000	Latitude: Longitude:	33°26'45.5"S 151°17'25.0"E
GS Map:	-	MGA Zone:	56	Coordinate Source:	Map Interpre

#### Construction

Negative depths indicate Above Ground Level; C-Cemented; SL-Slot Length; A-Aperture; GS-Grain Size; Q-Quantity; PL-Placement of Gravel Pack; PC-Pressure Cemented; S-Sump; CE-Centralisers

Hole	Pipe	Component	Туре	From (m)	To (m)	Outside Diameter (mm)	Inside Diameter (mm)	Interval	Details
1		Hole	Hole	0.00	56.00	175			Rotary Mud
1	1	Casing	Pvc Class 9	-0.50	56.00	125	115		Seated on Bottom, Glued
1	1	Opening	Slots - Vertical	17.00	25.00	125		0	Casing - Machine Slotted, PVC Class 9, SL: 100.0mm, A: 1.00mm
1	1	Opening	Slots - Vertical	47.50	53.50	125		0	Casing - Machine Slotted, PVC Class 9, SL: 100.0mm, A: 1.00mm

#### Water Bearing Zones

From (m)	To (m)	Thickness (m)	WBZ Туре	S.W.L. (m)	D.D.L. (m)	Yield (L/s)	Hole Depth (m)	Duration (hr)	Salinity (mg/L)
47.00	53.00	6.00	Unknown		53.00	0.20		02:00:00	

#### **Drillers Log**

From (m)	To (m)	Thickness (m)	Drillers Description	Geological Material	Comments
1.00	5.00	4.00	clay (brown and gritty)	Clay	

 $https://realtimedata.waternsw.com.au/wgen/users/8c78d701ddf144a6967076799d964454/gw200310.agagpf\_org.wsr.htm?1590623040747\&1590\dots\ 1/2$ 

5/28/2020

https://realtimedata.waternsw.com.au/wgen/users/8c78d701ddf144a6967076799d964454/gw200310.agagpf\_org.wsr.htm?1590623...

5.00	41.00	36.00	sandstone (pink & brown various clay contents to very hard)	Sandstone	
41.00	49.00	8.00	sandstone (brown, softer)	Sandstone	
49.00	56.00	7.00	sandstone (creamy color, broken layers)	Sandstone	

#### Remarks

15/11/2006: Form A Remarks: Sump installed from 53.5m to 56m 05/11/2009: Updated coordinates as per existing Eastings and Northings.

\*\*\* End of GW200310 \*\*\*

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the WaterNSW by drillers, licensees and other sources. WaterNSW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

## Appendix D

Site Photographs







PHOTOGRAPH 5 Small soil stockpile containing asbestos containing material fragment, sample location S6 **PHOTOGRAPH 6** Branch stockpile with soil stockpile underneath, sample S9 **Client:** Darkinjung Local Aboriginal Land Council **RCA Australia Project:** Preliminary Site (Contamination) Assessment Location: Lots 512 and 513, DP727686, Kariong RCA ref: 14872-401/1 AWS-TEM-026/0





PHOTOGRAPH 10 Tyre, terracotta tiles and other materials located adjacent to residential property



PHOTOGRAPH 11 Tyre pile and waste building timber

**Client:** Darkinjung Local Aboriginal Land Council **Project:** Preliminary Site (Contamination) Assessment Location: Lots 512 and 513, DP727686, Kariong RCA ref: 14872-401/1 AWS-TEM-026/0

**RCA Australia** 



PHOTOGRAPH 13 Brick and metal stockpile located within the site adjacent to residential property



**PHOTOGRAPH 14** Insulation Material

**Client:** Darkinjung Local Aboriginal Land Council Project: Preliminary Site (Contamination) Assessment Location: Lots 512 and 513, DP727686, Kariong AWS-TEM-026/0

**RCA Australia** 

RCA ref: 14872-401/1

## Appendix E

Screening Levels and Guidelines

### NATIONAL ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION (ASSESSMENT OF SITE CONTAMINATION) MEASURE 1999 AS AMENDED 2013

#### Soil

The investigation and screening levels (ISL) utilised for the assessment of the soil on site were sourced from the National Environment Protection Measure for the Assessment of Site Contamination (ASC NEPM, Ref [4]). These ISL are not derived as acceptance criteria for contamination at a site, but as levels above which specific consideration of risk, based on the site use and potential exposure, is required. If a risk is determined as present, then remediation and/or management must be undertaken.

Assessment ISL are based on:

• Human Health.

Intentionally conservative health investigation levels (HIL) have been derived for four (4) generic land use settings.

- HIL 'A' Residential with garden/accessible soil (home grown produce <10% fruit and vegetable intake (no poultry). This category includes children's day care centres, preschools and primary schools.
- HIL 'B' Residential with minimal opportunities for soil access includes dwellings with fully and permanently paved yard space such as high rise buildings and flats.
- HIL 'C' Public open space such as parks, playgrounds, playing fields (e.g. ovals) secondary schools and footpaths. It does not include undeveloped public open space (such as urban bushland and reserves).
- HIL 'D' Commercial/industrial such as shops, offices, factories and industrial sites.

The exposure scenario for the derivation of the relevant land use setting is set out in the table below.

Health screening levels (HSL) have been determined for risks associated from vapour intrusion from petroleum<sup>1</sup> compound contamination for the same land use settings. These HSL are additionally based on the fraction of compound, the soil texture and the depth of the encountered soil.

Direct hydrocarbon contact criteria are not provided in the ASC NEPM (Ref [4]), however these are provided in CRC Care Technical Report 10 (Ref [4]) which is the source document for the HSL.

• Ecological Health

These levels are considered to apply to soil within two (2) metres of the surface, the root zone and habitation zone of many species.

Ecological investigation levels (EIL) have been determined for arsenic, copper, chromium III, DDT, naphthalene, nickel, lead and zinc in soil based on species sensitivity model and for three (3) generic land use settings:



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Laboratory analysis of hydrocarbons is being reported as total recoverable hydrocarbons (TRH). This testing method includes all forms of hydrocarbons, not just petroleum hydrocarbons and therefore can be considered a conservative measure against the chosen TPH criteria. Further laboratory analysis using a silica gel clean up (TRH<sub>s</sub>) is considered to enable a better identification of the extent of petroleum based contamination.

- Areas of ecological significance for areas where the primary intention is for the conservation and protection of the natural environment. Protection level of 99%.
- Urban residential areas and public open space broadly equivalent to the HIL A, HIL B and HIL C land use settings. Protection level of 80%.
- Commercial and industrial land uses considered to be broadly equivalent to HIL D land use setting. Protection level of 60%.

Methodology for the derivation of EIL for other contaminants is available in the ASC NEPM (Ref [4]) and requires additional soil character data.

Ecological screening levels (ESL) have been determined for petroleum compound contamination. Due to limitations in the data only moderate reliability ESL have been determined for fractions  $<C_{16}$ , applied generically in fine and coarse grained soils. ESL for petroleum fractions  $> C_{16}$ , BTEX and naphthalene are consider low reliability.

Aesthetics

Aesthetic considerations operate separately to the HIL/HSL and EIL/ESL assessment. Issues to be considered include:

- Highly malodorous soils or extracted groundwater (e.g. strong residual petroleum hydrocarbon odours, hydrogen sulphide in soil or extracted groundwater, organosulfur compounds).
- Hydrocarbon sheen on surface water.
- Discoloured chemical deposits or soil staining with chemical waste other than of a very minor nature.
- Large monolithic deposits of otherwise low-risk material, e.g. gypsum as powder or plasterboard, cement kiln dust.
- Presence of putrescible refuse including material that may generate hazardous levels of methane such as a deep-fill profile of green waste or large quantities of timber waste.
- Soils containing residue from animal burial (e.g. former abattoir sites).

Site assessment requires consideration of the quantity, type and distribution of foreign material or odours in relation to the specific land use and its sensitivity. For example, higher expectations for soil quality would apply to residential properties with gardens compared with industrial settings.

Tier 1 assessment comprises the comparison of the soil data with the HIL/HSL and EIL/ESL. In the event that some concentrations are in excess of the relevant criteria, the summary statistics of the data set may be utilised for assessment purpose. Consideration of a range of statistics is recommended; at a minimum the 95%UCL<sub>ave</sub> should be compared to the relevant criteria as long as:

- No single value exceeds 250% of the relevant criterion.
- The standard deviation of the results for each analyte is less than 50% of the relevant criterion.

In addition to appropriate consideration and application of the HSL and ESL, there are a number of policy considerations which reflect the nature and properties of petroleum hydrocarbons:



- Formation of observable light non-aqueous phase liquids (LNAPL).
- Fire and explosive hazards.
- Effects on buried infrastructure e.g., penetration of, or damage to, in-ground services by hydrocarbons.

The ASC NEPM (Ref [4]) has therefore provided management limits, the application of which will require consideration of site-specific factors such as the depth of building basements and services and depth to groundwater, to determine the maximum depth to which the limits should apply. The management limits may have less relevance at operating industrial sites (including mine sites) which have no or limited sensitive receptors in the area of potential impact. When the management limits are exceeded, further site-specific assessment and management may enable any identified risk to be addressed.

The presence of site hydrocarbon contamination at the levels of the management limits does not imply that there is no need for administrative notification or controls in accordance with jurisdiction requirements.

The following figure has been taken from the ASC NEPM (Ref [4]) to illustrate the assessment methodology in regards to petroleum contamination.



**Figure 1** Flowchart for the Tier 1 human and ecological risk assessment of petroleum hydrocarbon contamination – application of HSL and ESL and consideration of management limits



Summary of			Parameters	S
Exposure Pathways	Abbreviations	Units	Adult	Child
Body weight	$BW_A$ or $BW_C$	kg	70	15
Exposure duration	ED <sub>A</sub> or ED <sub>C</sub>	years	29	6
Exposure frequency	EF	days	365	365
Soil/dust ingestion rate <sup>1</sup>	$IR_{SA}$ or $IR_{SC}$	mg/day	50 <sup>2</sup>	100 <sup>2</sup>
Soil/dust to skin adherence factor	AF	mg/cm²/day	0.5	0.5
Skin surface area	$SA_A$ or $SA_C$	cm²	20 000	6100
Fraction of skin exposed	Fs	%	31.5	44.3
Dermal absorption factor	DAF	%	Chemical specific value	ues applied
Time spent indoors on site each day	ETi	hours	20	20
Time spent outdoors on site each day	ET₀	hours	4	4
Home-grown fraction of vegetables consumed	Fнg	%	10	10
Vegetable & fruit consumption rate	$C_y$ (veg and fruit)	g/day	400	280
Averaging time for carcinogens ('lifetime')	AT <sub>NT</sub>	years	70	70
Dust lung retention factor	RF	%	37.5	37.5

#### **Residential with Garden/Accessible soil**

Soil ingestion rates for children are based on a child aged 2-3 years where normal hand-to-mouth activity is assumed and does not account for pica behaviour

Soil ingestion rates for the HIL A scenario include the ingestion of both outdoor soil, including soil adhering to home-grown produce, and indoor dust (derived from outdoor soil tracked indoors)



# Appendix F

**Field Sheets** 



### **ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE COLLECTION RECORD**

CLIENT: PROJECT: LOCATION PROJECT	Barr & Property 14812 : Kanong MANAGER: F.B.	Gr	oup			DATE: 14.5.2020. PROJECT No: 14872. CLIENT REF:	
Sample ID	Location 36 H	Depth (m)	(mqq)	Sample Type	Sample Description	Comments (۲ ۲ / ۲)	
SI	0341517; 6297951	0.05	-	S	Silty sand, brown with	h qravels.	
MI	11 (1	0.05	-	n7	Insulation	<u> </u>	
52	0341577;6298013	005	-	S.	Silty sand, brown.	collected from under geofabric	C.
53	0341538,6298061	0.2		S	Sandy silt brown, with grav	vels. from stockpile.	
54	0341538 ; 6298065	0.2		S	granelly sitt, brown	from stockpile.	
S5.	0 341524 : 6298076 .	0.2		S	Siltyclay orange/brow	1) stockpile (small)	?
\$6		0.1		S	Silty clay orange/brow	1. stockpile (small)-sinallasbes	sta
57	0341520; 6298085	005		ç	Sandy still, brown vegetation	on present. Adjacent to drum. odour. gr	re
S8	u (	0.05		S	Sandy silt brown, vea	retation present adjacent to drum odou	1.
59	034151316298091	8:3		S	Silty sand, brown	·located inside timber stockp	x le
SID	0341455. 6296121	0.2		S	Silly sand, brown with	Igravelstimber stockpile.	
51(	See photo' coordinates	0. /		S	Silty sand, bown near 1	building insulationally stockpiller	
RCA Aus	tralia		Sample	ed by:	C-Rochel	Date:	
Office:			Checke	ed by:		Date:	

Page 1 of 2

EFS-SCR-001/2



### **ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE COLLECTION RECORD**

CLIENT: PROJECT: LOCATION PROJECT N	Barr & Property Contamination Kariong MANAGER: F-B.	DATE: <u>\4 S -</u> PROJECT No: <u>\4</u> 名つ CLIENT REF:	2020					
Sample ID	Location	Depth (m)	(mqq) DIA	Sample Type	Sample Description	Comments	Lab (y / n)	
512	0341453; 6298156	0.1		S	Sandy silt, light brown	Very small SP with brock/	rubosh	
SB	03414391 67981	0		S	Silly sand light boun	n J tin pile.		
514		20.0		S	sandly silt clauk brown	collected near con	rete.	
515	3	005		S	silty sand, brown	collected from hm	ber pile next	t to
546		0.05		S	sitty sonel, brown	collected near two)		1919
					J			
	÷,							
							S	
	s.						11	
	2 0							
	8. B <sup>11</sup>						· · · · · ·	
	×		<i>.</i>		τ. Έ	1		

RCA Australia	Sampled by: C. Rochel	Date:	
Office:	Checked by:	Date:	

Page 2 of 2

EFS-SCR-001/2

## Appendix G

Quality Assurance Review and Laboratory Report Sheets RCA omitted the duplicate due to the small number of samples, field blank due to the low potential for cross contamination from field conditions, trip blank and spike due to the presumed absence of volatile compounds and equipment wash due to the low potential for cross contamination from the sampling equipment.

ALS was chosen as the primary laboratory. This laboratory used for analysis is NATA accredited and is experienced in the analytical requirements for potentially contaminated soil and groundwater.

ALS undertook internal quality assurance testing. Results are contained within the laboratory report sheets, included in this **Appendix**. **Table 8** presents a summary of their review.

	Number Samples (including QA)	Laboratory Duplicates	Spikes	Laboratory Control Samples	Laboratory Blanks
Requiren	nent	10%	5%	One every batch	One every batch
		Soil			
Metals (As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Hg, Pb, Zn)	9	1 (2)	1 (1)	2	2
	9	1 (1)	0 (1)	1	1
TRH >C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>40</sub>	9	1 (1)	0 (1)	1	1
BTEX	9	1 (1)	0 (1)	1	1
РАН	9	1 (1)	0 (1)	1	1

#### **Table 8**Internal Quality Assurance Review

Numbers in brackets refer the tests undertaken on samples not from this project but within the same laboratory batch.

Internal duplicate, spikes, laboratory control samples and blanks are not undertaken for asbestos identification in soils or bulk material.

Examination of the above table reveals that ALS has undertaken laboratory quality assurance testing in accordance with the ASC NEPM (Ref [4]).

- Recoveries of Surrogates were within acceptance criteria of 70-130%.
- Holding Times were within laboratory specified time frames.
- Recoveries of laboratory control samples were within the acceptance criteria of 70-130%.
- Recoveries of Spikes were within acceptance criteria of 70-130%.
- Relative Percentage Differences for duplicates were within acceptance criteria as defined in **Table 6**.
- No Laboratory Blank result was detected above the practical quantification limit (PQL).





#### **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Work Order	ES2016825	Page	: 1 of 10
Client	: ROBERT CARR & ASSOCIATES P/L	Laboratory	Environmental Division Sydney
Contact	: MS FIONA BROOKER	Contact	: Customer Services ES
Address	: PO BOX 175	Address	: 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164
	CARRINGTON NSW, AUSTRALIA 2294		
Telephone	: +61 02 4902 9200	Telephone	: +61-2-8784 8555
Project	: 14872	Date Samples Received	: 15-May-2020 15:05
Order number	:	Date Analysis Commenced	: 18-May-2020
C-O-C number	:	Issue Date	21-May-2020 17:13
Sampler	: CARMEN ROCHER		HALA NALA
Site	:		
Quote number	: SYBQ/400/18		
No. of samples received	: 10		Accredited for compliance with
No. of samples analysed	: 10		ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results
- Descriptive Results
- Surrogate Control Limits

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

#### Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
Alexander Ristoski	Laboratory Technican	Newcastle - Asbestos, Mayfield West, NSW
Ankit Joshi	Inorganic Chemist	Sydney Inorganics, Smithfield, NSW
Christopher Owler	Team Leader - Asbestos	Newcastle - Asbestos, Mayfield West, NSW
Edwandy Fadjar	Organic Coordinator	Sydney Organics, Smithfield, NSW
Ivan Taylor	Analyst	Sydney Inorganics, Smithfield, NSW



#### **General Comments**

The analytical procedures used by ALS have been developed from established internationally recognised procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are fully validated and are often at the client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key: CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting

ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.

~ = Indicates an estimated value.

- Benzo(a)pyrene Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) per the NEPM (2013) is the sum total of the concentration of the eight carcinogenic PAHs multiplied by their Toxicity Equivalence Factor (TEF) relative to Benzo(a)pyrene. TEF values are provided in brackets as follows: Benz(a)anthracene (0.1), Chrysene (0.01), Benzo(b+j) & Benzo(k)fluoranthene (0.1), Benzo(a)pyrene (1.0), Indeno(1.2.3.cd)pyrene (0.1), Dibenz(a.h)anthracene (1.0), Benzo(g.h.i)perylene (0.01). Less than LOR results for 'TEQ Zero' are treated as zero, for 'TEQ 1/2LOR' are treated as half the reported LOR, and for 'TEQ LOR' are treated as being equal to the reported LOR. Note: TEQ 1/2LOR and TEQ LOR will calculate as 0.6mg/Kg and 1.2mg/Kg respectively for samples with non-detects for all of the eight TEQ PAHs.
- EA200: Asbestos Identification Samples were analysed by Polarised Light Microscopy including dispersion staining.
- EA200 Legend
- EA200 'Am' Amosite (brown asbestos)
- EA200 'Cr' Crocidolite (blue asbestos)
- EA200 'Ch' Chrysotile (white asbestos)
- EA200: 'UMF' Unknown Mineral Fibres. "--" indicates fibres detected may or may not be asbestos fibres. Confirmation by alternative techniques is recommended.
- EA200 'Trace' Asbestos fibres ("Free Fibres") detected by trace analysis per AS4964. The result can be interpreted that the sample contains detectable 'respirable' asbestos fibres
- EA200: For samples larger than 30g, the <2mm fraction may be sub-sampled prior to trace analysis as outlined in ISO23909:2008(E) Sect 6.3.2-2
- EA200: 'Yes' Asbestos detected by polarised light microscopy including dispersion staining.
- EA200: 'No\*' No asbestos found, at the reporting limit of 0.1g/kg, by polarised light microscopy including dispersion staining. Asbestos material was detected and positively identified at concentrations estimated to be below 0.1g/kg.
- EA200: 'No' No asbestos found at the reporting limit 0.1g/kg, by polarised light microscopy including dispersion staining.
- EA200: N/A Not Applicable

# Page : 3 of 10 Work Order : ES2016825 Client : ROBERT CARR & ASSOCIATES P/L Project : 14872



Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)	Client sample ID		S1	S3	S6	S8	S9	
	Client sampling date / time		14-May-2020 00:00					
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES2016825-001	ES2016825-002	ES2016825-003	ES2016825-004	ES2016825-005
				Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EA055: Moisture Content (Dried @	105-110°C)							
Moisture Content		1.0	%	12.7	11.3	5.0	15.1	14.7
EA200: AS 4964 - 2004 Identificatio	n of Asbestos in Soils							
Asbestos Detected	1332-21-4	0.1	g/kg	No	No	No		No
Asbestos (Trace)	1332-21-4	5	Fibres	No	No	No		No
Asbestos Type	1332-21-4	-		-	-	-		-
Synthetic Mineral Fibre		0.1	g/kg	No	No	No		No
Organic Fibre		0.1	g/kg	No	No	No		No
Sample weight (dry)		0.01	g	12.2	29.2	36.0		14.6
APPROVED IDENTIFIER:		-		C.OWLER	C.OWLER	C.OWLER		C.OWLER
EG005(ED093)T: Total Metals by IC	P-AES							
Arsenic	7440-38-2	5	mg/kg	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
Cadmium	7440-43-9	1	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Chromium	7440-47-3	2	mg/kg	<2	6	4	5	2
Copper	7440-50-8	5	mg/kg	<5	7	<5	<5	<5
Lead	7439-92-1	5	mg/kg	<5	9	5	9	7
Nickel	7440-02-0	2	mg/kg	<2	2	<2	<2	<2
Zinc	7440-66-6	5	mg/kg	<5	26	<5	<5	<5
EG035T: Total Recoverable Mercu	ry by FIMS							
Mercury	7439-97-6	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
EP075(SIM)B: Polynuclear Aromati	c Hydrocarbons							
Naphthalene	91-20-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Acenaphthene	83-32-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Fluorene	86-73-7	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Phenanthrene	85-01-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Anthracene	120-12-7	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Fluoranthene	206-44-0	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Pyrene	129-00-0	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Benz(a)anthracene	56-55-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Chrysene	218-01-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Benzo(b+j)fluoranthene	205-99-2 205-82-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	207-08-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene	50-32-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Indeno(1.2.3.cd)pyrene	193-39-5	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5

# Page : 4 of 10 Work Order : ES2016825 Client : ROBERT CARR & ASSOCIATES P/L Project : 14872



Sub-Matrix: SOIL	Client sample ID		S1	S3	S6	S8	S9	
	Cli	ent sampli	na date / time	14-May-2020 00:00				
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES2016825-001	ES2016825-002	ES2016825-003	ES2016825-004	ES2016825-005
				Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EP075(SIM)B: Polynuclear Aromatic Hy	drocarbons - Cont	inued						
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	53-70-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	191-24-2	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
^ Sum of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons		0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (zero)		0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (half LOR)		0.5	mg/kg	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (LOR)		0.5	mg/kg	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarb	ons							
C6 - C9 Fraction		10	mg/kg	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
C10 - C14 Fraction		50	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
C15 - C28 Fraction		100	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	230
C29 - C36 Fraction		100	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	230
^ C10 - C36 Fraction (sum)		50	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	460
EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydroca	rbons - NEPM 201	3 Fractio	าร					
C6 - C10 Fraction	C6_C10	10	mg/kg	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
<sup>^</sup> C6 - C10 Fraction minus BTEX	C6_C10-BTEX	10	mg/kg	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
(F1)								
>C10 - C16 Fraction		50	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	80
>C16 - C34 Fraction		100	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	120	300
>C34 - C40 Fraction		100	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	240
^ >C10 - C40 Fraction (sum)		50	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	120	620
^ >C10 - C16 Fraction minus Naphthalene		50	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	80
(F2)								
EP080: BTEXN		0.0		-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
Benzene	71-43-2	0.2	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
	108-88-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Etnyibenzene	100-41-4	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
meta- & para-Xylene	108-38-3 106-42-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Ortno-Xylene	95-47-6	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.3	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
		0.2	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	>u.2	<0.5	<0.5
Nanhthalono	01.00.0	1	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
	91-20-3	1	ilig/kg					<u> </u>
EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Sur	rogates	0.5	0/_	02.4	02.9	94 E	92.0	94.6
2 Chlorophonol D4	13127-88-3	0.5	-70 0/	02.1	32.8	04.0	02.0	04.0
2-011010pnen01-04	93951-73-6	0.5	70	00.0	102	04./	00.2	02.0

# Page : 5 of 10 Work Order : ES2016825 Client : ROBERT CARR & ASSOCIATES P/L Project : 14872



Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)		Cli	ent sample ID	S1	S3	S6	S8	S9		
	Cli	ent sampli	ing date / time	14-May-2020 00:00						
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES2016825-001	ES2016825-002	ES2016825-003	ES2016825-004	ES2016825-005		
				Result	Result	Result	Result	Result		
EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Surro	EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Surrogates - Continued									
2.4.6-Tribromophenol	118-79-6	0.5	%	73.7	75.5	64.8	79.2	80.4		
EP075(SIM)T: PAH Surrogates										
2-Fluorobiphenyl	321-60-8	0.5	%	97.6	100	90.8	99.0	103		
Anthracene-d10	1719-06-8	0.5	%	93.8	94.7	86.7	93.3	94.6		
4-Terphenyl-d14	1718-51-0	0.5	%	99.4	104	94.4	102	102		
EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates										
1.2-Dichloroethane-D4	17060-07-0	0.2	%	114	117	113	119	117		
Toluene-D8	2037-26-5	0.2	%	109	107	103	111	114		
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	0.2	%	104	104	101	106	96.1		

# Page : 6 of 10 Work Order : ES2016825 Client : ROBERT CARR & ASSOCIATES P/L Project : 14872



Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)		Clie	ent sample ID	S10	S12	S13	S15	
	CI	lient sampli	ng date / time	14-May-2020 00:00	14-May-2020 00:00	14-May-2020 00:00	14-May-2020 00:00	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES2016825-006	ES2016825-007	ES2016825-008	ES2016825-009	
				Result	Result	Result	Result	
EA055: Moisture Content (Dried @ 1	05-110°C)							
Moisture Content		1.0	%	21.1	12.1	11.2	13.8	
EA200: AS 4964 - 2004 Identification	of Asbestos in Soils	;						
Asbestos Detected	1332-21-4	0.1	g/kg	No	No	No	No	
Asbestos (Trace)	1332-21-4	5	Fibres	No	No	No	No	
Asbestos Type	1332-21-4	-		-	-	-	-	
Synthetic Mineral Fibre		0.1	g/kg	No	No	No	No	
Organic Fibre		0.1	g/kg	No	No	No	No	
Sample weight (dry)		0.01	g	16.1	34.2	14.7	6.97	
APPROVED IDENTIFIER:		-		C.OWLER	C.OWLER	C.OWLER	C.OWLER	
EG005(ED093)T: Total Metals by ICP	-AES							
Arsenic	7440-38-2	5	mg/kg	<5	<5	<5	<5	
Cadmium	7440-43-9	1	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Chromium	7440-47-3	2	mg/kg	3	5	<2	4	
Copper	7440-50-8	5	mg/kg	<5	<5	<5	<5	
Lead	7439-92-1	5	mg/kg	<5	<5	<5	5	
Nickel	7440-02-0	2	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	
Zinc	7440-66-6	5	mg/kg	<5	6	147	20	
EG035T: Total Recoverable Mercury	y by FIMS							
Mercury	7439-97-6	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
EP075(SIM)B: Polynuclear Aromatic	Hydrocarbons							
Naphthalene	91-20-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Acenaphthene	83-32-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Fluorene	86-73-7	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Phenanthrene	85-01-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Anthracene	120-12-7	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Fluoranthene	206-44-0	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Pyrene	129-00-0	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Benz(a)anthracene	56-55-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Chrysene	218-01-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Benzo(b+j)fluoranthene	205-99-2 205-82-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	207-08-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Benzo(a)pyrene	50-32-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Indeno(1.2.3.cd)pyrene	193-39-5	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	

# Page : 7 of 10 Work Order : ES2016825 Client : ROBERT CARR & ASSOCIATES P/L Project : 14872



Sub-Matrix: SOIL		Clie	ent sample ID	S10	S12	S13	S15	
	Client sampling date / time		14-May-2020 00:00	14-May-2020 00:00	14-May-2020 00:00	14-May-2020 00:00		
Compound	CAS Number	I OR	Unit	FS2016825-006	ES2016825-007	ES2016825-008	FS2016825-009	
	CAS Number		C	Result	Result	Result	Result	
EP075/SIM)B: Polynuclear Aromatic Hy	drocarbons - Cont	inued				- toout	. toout	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	53-70-3	0.5	ma/ka	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Benzo(a.h.i)pervlene	191-24-2	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
^ Sum of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons	s	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (zero)		0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (half LOR)		0.5	mg/kg	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	
^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (LOR)		0.5	mg/kg	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	
EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarb	ons							
C6 - C9 Fraction		10	mg/kg	<10	<10	<10	<10	
C10 - C14 Fraction		50	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	90	
C15 - C28 Fraction		100	mg/kg	250	<100	<100	300	
C29 - C36 Fraction		100	mg/kg	300	<100	120	380	
^ C10 - C36 Fraction (sum)		50	mg/kg	550	<50	120	770	
EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydroca	rbons - NEPM 201	3 Fractio	ns					
C6 - C10 Fraction	C6_C10	10	mg/kg	<10	<10	<10	<10	
<sup>^</sup> C6 - C10 Fraction minus BTEX	C6_C10-BTEX	10	mg/kg	<10	<10	<10	<10	
(F1)								
>C10 - C16 Fraction		50	mg/kg	70	<50	<50	90	
>C16 - C34 Fraction		100	mg/kg	430	<100	160	530	
>C34 - C40 Fraction		100	mg/kg	260	<100	140	280	
^ >C10 - C40 Fraction (sum)		50	mg/kg	760	<50	300	900	
^ >C10 - C16 Fraction minus Naphthalene		50	mg/kg	70	<50	<50	90	
(F2)								
EP080: BTEXN	74,40,0	0.2	malka	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	
Toluono	71-43-2	0.2	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	
Ethylbenzene	108-88-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
meta. & para-Xylene	108 38 3 106 42 3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
ortho-Xylene	95-47-6	0.5	ma/ka	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
^ Sum of BTEX		0.2	ma/ka	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	
^ Total Xylenes		0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Naphthalene	91-20-3	1	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	
EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Sur	rogates							
Phenol-d6	13127-88-3	0.5	%	80.6	84.6	84.5	84.4	
2-Chlorophenol-D4	93951-73-6	0.5	%	83.4	92.6	98.6	88.7	
· ·								
# Page : 8 of 10 Work Order : ES2016825 Client : ROBERT CARR & ASSOCIATES P/L Project : 14872



### Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)		Cli	ent sample ID	S10	S12	S13	S15	
	Cli	ent sampli	ng date / time	14-May-2020 00:00	14-May-2020 00:00	14-May-2020 00:00	14-May-2020 00:00	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES2016825-006	ES2016825-007	ES2016825-008	ES2016825-009	
				Result	Result	Result	Result	
EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Surr	ogates - Continued							
2.4.6-Tribromophenol	118-79-6	0.5	%	81.5	71.6	81.4	75.1	
EP075(SIM)T: PAH Surrogates								
2-Fluorobiphenyl	321-60-8	0.5	%	87.3	96.7	99.0	96.2	
Anthracene-d10	1719-06-8	0.5	%	92.0	94.5	94.8	91.4	
4-Terphenyl-d14	1718-51-0	0.5	%	100	105	102	99.4	
EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates								
1.2-Dichloroethane-D4	17060-07-0	0.2	%	119	129	122	124	
Toluene-D8	2037-26-5	0.2	%	114	116	116	118	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	0.2	%	109	111	112	113	

# Page : 9 of 10 Work Order : ES2016825 Client : ROBERT CARR & ASSOCIATES P/L Project : 14872



### Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: SOLID (Matrix: SOLID)	Client sample ID			M2	 	 
	Client sampling date / time			14-May-2020 00:00	 	 
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES2016825-010	 	 
				Result	 	 
EA200: AS 4964 - 2004 Identification of Asbestos in bulk samples						
Asbestos Detected	1332-21-4	0.1	g/kg	Yes	 	 
Asbestos Type	1332-21-4	-		Ch	 	 
Asbestos (Trace)	1332-21-4	5	Fibres	N/A	 	 
Sample weight (dry)		0.01	g	9.89	 	 
Synthetic Mineral Fibre		0.1	g/kg	No	 	 
Organic Fibre		0.1	g/kg	Yes	 	 
APPROVED IDENTIFIER:		-		A. RISTOSKI	 	 

# Analytical Results

### Descriptive Results

#### Sub-Matrix: SOIL

Method: Compound	Client sample ID - Client sampling date / time	Analytical Results
EA200: AS 4964 - 2004 Identification of Asbestos	in Soils	
EA200: Description	S1 - 14-May-2020 00:00	Mid brown soil.
EA200: Description	S3 - 14-May-2020 00:00	Mid brown soil.
EA200: Description	S6 - 14-May-2020 00:00	Mid brown soil.
EA200: Description	S9 - 14-May-2020 00:00	Mid brown soil.
EA200: Description	S10 - 14-May-2020 00:00	Mid brown soil.
EA200: Description	S12 - 14-May-2020 00:00	Mid brown soil.
EA200: Description	S13 - 14-May-2020 00:00	Mid brown soil.
EA200: Description	S15 - 14-May-2020 00:00	Mid brown soil.
Sub-Matrix: SOLID		
Method: Compound	Client sample ID - Client sampling date / time	Analytical Results
EA200: AS 4964 - 2004 Identification of Asbestos	in bulk samples	
EA200: Description	M2 - 14-May-2020 00:00	Two pieces of asbestos cement sheeting approximately 50x25x5mm.

# ALS)

# Surrogate Control Limits

	Recovery	Limits (%)
CAS Number	Low	High
s		
13127-88-3	63	123
93951-73-6	66	122
118-79-6	40	138
321-60-8	70	122
1719-06-8	66	128
1718-51-0	65	129
17060-07-0	73	133
2037-26-5	74	132
460-00-4	72	130
	CAS Number S 13127-88-3 93951-73-6 118-79-6 321-60-8 1719-06-8 1719-06-8 1718-51-0 17060-07-0 2037-26-5 460-00-4	Recovery           CAS Number         Low           IS         13127-88-3         63           13327-88-3         63         393951-73-6           118-79-6         40         40           321-60-8         70         1719-06-8           1719-06-8         66         65           171060-07-0         73         2037-26-5           2037-26-5         74         460-00-4



# **QUALITY CONTROL REPORT**

Work Order	: ES2016825	Page	: 1 of 9	
Client	ROBERT CARR & ASSOCIATES P/L	Laboratory	: Environmental Division S	ydney
Contact	: MS FIONA BROOKER	Contact	: Customer Services ES	
Address	: PO BOX 175 CARRINGTON NSW, AUSTRALIA 2294	Address	: 277-289 Woodpark Road	I Smithfield NSW Australia 2164
Telephone	: +61 02 4902 9200	Telephone	: +61-2-8784 8555	
Project	: 14872	Date Samples Received	: 15-May-2020	ANIIII.
Order number	:	Date Analysis Commenced	: 18-May-2020	
C-O-C number	:	Issue Date	: 21-May-2020	
Sampler	: CARMEN ROCHER			HACEMRA INATA
Site	:			
Quote number	: SYBQ/400/18			Accreditation No. 825
No. of samples received	: 10			Accredited for compliance with
No. of samples analysed	: 10			ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full. This Quality Control Report contains the following information:

- Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report; Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) and Acceptance Limits
- Method Blank (MB) and Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report; Recovery and Acceptance Limits
- Matrix Spike (MS) Report; Recovery and Acceptance Limits

#### Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
Alexander Ristoski	Laboratory Technican	Newcastle - Asbestos, Mayfield West, NSW
Ankit Joshi	Inorganic Chemist	Sydney Inorganics, Smithfield, NSW
Christopher Owler	Team Leader - Asbestos	Newcastle - Asbestos, Mayfield West, NSW
Edwandy Fadjar	Organic Coordinator	Sydney Organics, Smithfield, NSW
Ivan Taylor	Analyst	Sydney Inorganics, Smithfield, NSW
Ankit Joshi Christopher Owler Edwandy Fadjar Ivan Taylor	Inorganic Chemist Team Leader - Asbestos Organic Coordinator Analyst	Sydney Inorganics, Smithfield, NSW Newcastle - Asbestos, Mayfield West, NSW Sydney Organics, Smithfield, NSW Sydney Inorganics, Smithfield, NSW



#### **General Comments**

The analytical procedures used by ALS have been developed from established internationally recognised procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are fully validated and are often at the client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis. Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high

Key : Anonymous = Refers to samples which are not specifically part of this work order but formed part of the QC process lot

CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

RPD = Relative Percentage Difference

# = Indicates failed QC

#### Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

The quality control term Laboratory Duplicate refers to a randomly selected intralaboratory split. Laboratory duplicates provide information regarding method precision and sample heterogeneity. The permitted ranges for the Relative Percent Deviation (RPD) of Laboratory Duplicates are specified in ALS Method QWI-EN/38 and are dependent on the magnitude of results in comparison to the level of reporting: Result < 10 times LOR: No Limit; Result between 10 and 20 times LOR: 0% - 50%; Result > 20 times LOR: 0% - 20%.

Sub-Matrix: SOIL			Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report						
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)	Recovery Limits (%)
EG005(ED093)T: Tot	al Metals by ICP-AES (Q	C Lot: 3025734)							
ES2016504-009	Anonymous	EG005T: Cadmium	7440-43-9	1	mg/kg	<1	<1	0.00	No Limit
		EG005T: Chromium	7440-47-3	2	mg/kg	22	30	29.3	0% - 50%
		EG005T: Nickel	7440-02-0	2	mg/kg	9	9	0.00	No Limit
		EG005T: Arsenic	7440-38-2	5	mg/kg	9	<5	54.2	No Limit
		EG005T: Copper	7440-50-8	5	mg/kg	21	19	7.55	No Limit
		EG005T: Lead	7439-92-1	5	mg/kg	27	15	55.0	No Limit
		EG005T: Zinc	7440-66-6	5	mg/kg	57	57	0.00	0% - 50%
ES2016720-004	Anonymous	EG005T: Cadmium	7440-43-9	1	mg/kg	<1	<1	0.00	No Limit
		EG005T: Chromium	7440-47-3	2	mg/kg	11	10	11.1	No Limit
		EG005T: Nickel	7440-02-0	2	mg/kg	19	21	10.8	0% - 50%
		EG005T: Arsenic	7440-38-2	5	mg/kg	7	8	0.00	No Limit
		EG005T: Copper	7440-50-8	5	mg/kg	19	20	0.00	No Limit
		EG005T: Lead	7439-92-1	5	mg/kg	12	9	30.8	No Limit
		EG005T: Zinc	7440-66-6	5	mg/kg	63	52	18.3	0% - 50%
EG005(ED093)T: Tot	al Metals by ICP-AES (Q	C Lot: 3025738)							
ES2016825-005	S9	EG005T: Cadmium	7440-43-9	1	mg/kg	<1	<1	0.00	No Limit
		EG005T: Chromium	7440-47-3	2	mg/kg	2	<2	0.00	No Limit
		EG005T: Nickel	7440-02-0	2	mg/kg	<2	<2	0.00	No Limit
		EG005T: Arsenic	7440-38-2	5	mg/kg	<5	<5	0.00	No Limit
		EG005T: Copper	7440-50-8	5	mg/kg	<5	<5	0.00	No Limit
		EG005T: Lead	7439-92-1	5	mg/kg	7	6	0.00	No Limit
		EG005T: Zinc	7440-66-6	5	mg/kg	<5	7	34.6	No Limit
EA055: Moisture Co	ntent (Dried @ 105-110°C	;) (QC Lot: 3025741)							
ES2016825-001	S1	EA055: Moisture Content		0.1	%	12.7	12.7	0.00	0% - 50%

Page	: 3 of 9
Work Order	: ES2016825
Client	: ROBERT CARR & ASSOCIATES P/L
Project	: 14872



Sub-Matrix: SOIL						Laboratory L	Duplicate (DUP) Report	t	
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)	Recovery Limits (%)
EG035T: Total Reco	verable Mercury by FIMS (	QC Lot: 3025735)							
ES2016504-009	Anonymous	EG035T: Mercury	7439-97-6	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	0.00	No Limit
ES2016720-004	Anonymous	EG035T: Mercury	7439-97-6	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	0.00	No Limit
EG035T: Total Reco	verable Mercury by FIMS (	QC Lot: 3025739)							
ES2016825-005	S9	EG035T: Mercury	7439-97-6	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	0.00	No Limit
EP075(SIM)B: Polynu	clear Aromatic Hydrocarb	ons (QC Lot: 3025258)							
ES2016719-001	Anonymous	EP075(SIM): Naphthalene	91-20-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Acenaphthene	83-32-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Fluorene	86-73-7	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Phenanthrene	85-01-8	0.5	mg/kg	6.6	7.0	5.51	0% - 50%
		EP075(SIM): Anthracene	120-12-7	0.5	mg/kg	0.9	1.0	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Fluoranthene	206-44-0	0.5	mg/kg	15.3	16.3	6.17	0% - 20%
		EP075(SIM): Pyrene	129-00-0	0.5	mg/kg	13.6	14.5	6.60	0% - 20%
		EP075(SIM): Benz(a)anthracene	56-55-3	0.5	mg/kg	4.3	4.6	6.73	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Chrysene	218-01-9	0.5	mg/kg	5.0	5.1	2.32	0% - 50%
		EP075(SIM): Benzo(b+j)fluoranthene	205-99-2	0.5	mg/kg	6.1	6.1	0.00	0% - 50%
			205-82-3						
		EP075(SIM): Benzo(k)fluoranthene	207-08-9	0.5	mg/kg	2.2	2.4	6.01	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Benzo(a)pyrene	50-32-8	0.5	mg/kg	5.2	5.5	6.02	0% - 50%
		EP075(SIM): Indeno(1.2.3.cd)pyrene	193-39-5	0.5	mg/kg	3.2	3.4	7.64	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	53-70-3	0.5	mg/kg	0.7	0.8	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	191-24-2	0.5	mg/kg	4.1	3.6	12.6	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Sum of polycyclic aromatic		0.5	mg/kg	67.2	70.8	5.22	0% - 20%
		hydrocarbons							
		EP075(SIM): Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (zero)		0.5	mg/kg	7.6	8.0	5.97	0% - 50%
ES2016825-008	S13	EP075(SIM): Naphthalene	91-20-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Acenaphthene	83-32-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Fluorene	86-73-7	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Phenanthrene	85-01-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Anthracene	120-12-7	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Fluoranthene	206-44-0	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Pyrene	129-00-0	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Benz(a)anthracene	56-55-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Chrysene	218-01-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Benzo(b+j)fluoranthene	205-99-2	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
			205-82-3						
		EP075(SIM): Benzo(k)fluoranthene	207-08-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Benzo(a)pyrene	50-32-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Indeno(1.2.3.cd)pyrene	193-39-5	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit

EP080: ortho-Xylene



Sub-Matrix: SOIL				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report					
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	<b>RPD</b> (%)	Recovery Limits (%)
EP075(SIM)B: Poly	nuclear Aromatic Hyd	rocarbons (QC Lot: 3025258) - continued						(-7	
ES2016825-008	S13	EP075(SIM): Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	53-70-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Benzo(a,h,i)pervlene	191-24-2	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP075(SIM): Sum of polycyclic aromatic		0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		hydrocarbons							
		EP075(SIM): Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (zero)		0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
EP080/071: Total P	etroleum Hydrocarbo	ns (QC Lot: 3024378)							
ES2016719-001	Anonymous	EP080: C6 - C9 Fraction		10	mg/kg	<10	<10	0.00	No Limit
ES2016825-001	S1	EP080: C6 - C9 Fraction		10	mg/kg	<10	<10	0.00	No Limit
EP080/071: Total P	etroleum Hydrocarbo	ns (QC Lot: 3025259)							
ES2016719-001	Anonymous	EP071: C15 - C28 Fraction		100	mg/kg	120	160	22.1	No Limit
		EP071: C29 - C36 Fraction		100	mg/kg	150	160	0.00	No Limit
		EP071: C10 - C14 Fraction		50	mg/kg	<50	<50	0.00	No Limit
ES2016825-008	S13	EP071: C15 - C28 Fraction		100	mg/kg	<100	<100	0.00	No Limit
		EP071: C29 - C36 Fraction		100	ma/ka	120	160	28.3	No Limit
		EP071: C10 - C14 Fraction		50	mg/kg	<50	<50	0.00	No Limit
EP080/071: Total R	ecoverable Hydrocart	oons - NEPM 2013 Fractions (QC Lot: 3024378)							
ES2016719-001	Anonymous	EP080 <sup>-</sup> C6 - C10 Eraction	C6 C10	10	ma/ka	<10	<10	0.00	No Limit
ES2016825-001	S1	EP080: C6 - C10 Fraction	C6 C10	10	mg/kg	<10	<10	0.00	No Limit
EP080/071: Total R	ecoverable Hvdrocart	oons - NEPM 2013 Fractions (QC Lot: 3025259)							
ES2016719-001	Anonymous	EP071: >C16 - C34 Fraction		100	mg/kg	230	260	12.6	No Limit
		EP071: >C34 - C40 Fraction		100	mg/kg	110	130	11.4	No Limit
		EP071: >C10 - C16 Fraction		50	mg/kg	<50	<50	0.00	No Limit
ES2016825-008	S13	EP071: >C16 - C34 Fraction		100	mg/kg	160	190	17.7	No Limit
		EP071: >C34 - C40 Fraction		100	mg/kg	140	170	17.1	No Limit
		EP071: >C10 - C16 Fraction		50	mg/kg	<50	<50	0.00	No Limit
EP080: BTEXN (QC	: Lot: 3024378)								
ES2016719-001	Anonymous	EP080: Benzene	71-43-2	0.2	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	0.00	No Limit
		EP080: Toluene	108-88-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP080: Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP080: meta- & para-Xylene	108-38-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
			106-42-3						
		EP080: ortho-Xylene	95-47-6	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP080: Naphthalene	91-20-3	1	mg/kg	<1	<1	0.00	No Limit
ES2016825-001	S1	EP080: Benzene	71-43-2	0.2	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	0.00	No Limit
		EP080: Toluene	108-88-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP080: Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
		EP080: meta- & para-Xylene	108-38-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit
			106-42-3						
		EP080: ortho-Xvlene	95-47-6	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	0.00	No Limit

Page	: 5 of 9
Work Order	ES2016825
Client	: ROBERT CARR & ASSOCIATES P/L
Project	: 14872



Sub-Matrix: SOIL					Laboratory D	uplicate (DUP) Report			
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)	Recovery Limits (%)
EP080: BTEXN (QC L	ot: 3024378) - continued								
ES2016825-001	S1	EP080: Naphthalene	91-20-3	1	mg/kg	<1	<1	0.00	No Limit



#### Method Blank (MB) and Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report

The quality control term Method / Laboratory Blank refers to an analyte free matrix to which all reagents are added in the same volumes or proportions as used in standard sample preparation. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor potential laboratory contamination. The quality control term Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) refers to a certified reference material, or a known interference free matrix spiked with target analytes. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor method precision and accuracy independent of sample matrix. Dynamic Recovery Limits are based on statistical evaluation of processed LCS.

Sub-Matrix: SOIL				Method Blank (MB)	Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report			
				Report	Spike	Spike Recovery (%)	Recovery	Limits (%)
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	Low	High
EG005(ED093)T: Total Metals by ICP-AES (QCLot: 30	)25734)							
EG005T: Arsenic	7440-38-2	5	mg/kg	<5	21.7 mg/kg	93.8	86.0	126
EG005T: Cadmium	7440-43-9	1	mg/kg	<1	4.64 mg/kg	88.1	83.0	113
EG005T: Chromium	7440-47-3	2	mg/kg	<2	43.9 mg/kg	84.7	76.0	128
EG005T: Copper	7440-50-8	5	mg/kg	<5	32 mg/kg	90.6	86.0	120
EG005T: Lead	7439-92-1	5	mg/kg	<5	40 mg/kg	84.7	80.0	114
EG005T: Nickel	7440-02-0	2	mg/kg	<2	55 mg/kg	92.4	87.0	123
EG005T: Zinc	7440-66-6	5	mg/kg	<5	60.8 mg/kg	85.8	80.0	122
EG005(ED093)T: Total Metals by ICP-AES(QCLot: 30	025738)							
EG005T: Arsenic	7440-38-2	5	mg/kg	<5	21.7 mg/kg	91.3	86.0	126
EG005T: Cadmium	7440-43-9	1	mg/kg	<1	4.64 mg/kg	85.0	83.0	113
EG005T: Chromium	7440-47-3	2	mg/kg	<2	43.9 mg/kg	82.8	76.0	128
EG005T: Copper	7440-50-8	5	mg/kg	<5	32 mg/kg	91.1	86.0	120
EG005T: Lead	7439-92-1	5	mg/kg	<5	40 mg/kg	84.1	80.0	114
EG005T: Nickel	7440-02-0	2	mg/kg	<2	55 mg/kg	89.9	87.0	123
EG005T: Zinc	7440-66-6	5	mg/kg	<5	60.8 mg/kg	81.5	80.0	122
EG035T: Total Recoverable Mercury by FIMS (QCLo	ot: 3025735)							
EG035T: Mercury	7439-97-6	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	2.57 mg/kg	73.6	70.0	105
EG035T: Total Recoverable Mercury by FIMS (QCLo	ot: 3025739)							
EG035T: Mercury	7439-97-6	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	2.57 mg/kg	73.6	70.0	105
EP075(SIM)B: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (	QCLot: 3025258)							
EP075(SIM): Naphthalene	91-20-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	6 mg/kg	97.0	77.0	125
EP075(SIM): Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	6 mg/kg	94.5	72.0	124
EP075(SIM): Acenaphthene	83-32-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	6 mg/kg	96.6	73.0	127
EP075(SIM): Fluorene	86-73-7	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	6 mg/kg	91.8	72.0	126
EP075(SIM): Phenanthrene	85-01-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	6 mg/kg	94.9	75.0	127
EP075(SIM): Anthracene	120-12-7	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	6 mg/kg	96.9	77.0	127
EP075(SIM): Fluoranthene	206-44-0	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	6 mg/kg	101	73.0	127
EP075(SIM): Pyrene	129-00-0	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	6 mg/kg	103	74.0	128
EP075(SIM): Benz(a)anthracene	56-55-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	6 mg/kg	92.8	69.0	123
EP075(SIM): Chrysene	218-01-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	6 mg/kg	99.8	75.0	127
EP075(SIM): Benzo(b+j)fluoranthene	205-99-2	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	6 mg/kg	93.6	68.0	116
	205-82-3							
EP075(SIM): Benzo(k)fluoranthene	207-08-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	6 mg/kg	101	74.0	126
EP075(SIM): Benzo(a)pyrene	50-32-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	6 mg/kg	100	70.0	126

# Page : 7 of 9 Work Order : ES2016825 Client : ROBERT CARR & ASSOCIATES P/L Project : 14872



Sub-Matrix: SOIL				Method Blank (MB)	Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report				
				Report	Spike	Spike Recovery (%)	Recovery	Limits (%)	
Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Result	Concentration	LCS	Low	High	
EP075(SIM)B: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarb	ons (QCLot: 3025258) - co	ntinued							
EP075(SIM): Indeno(1.2.3.cd)pyrene	193-39-5	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	6 mg/kg	91.1	61.0	121	
EP075(SIM): Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	53-70-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	6 mg/kg	90.3	62.0	118	
EP075(SIM): Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	191-24-2	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	6 mg/kg	91.7	63.0	121	
EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons(Q0	CLot: 3024378)								
EP080: C6 - C9 Fraction		10	mg/kg	<10	26 mg/kg	92.6	68.4	128	
EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons(Q0	CLot: 3025259)								
EP071: C10 - C14 Fraction		50	mg/kg	<50	300 mg/kg	101	75.0	129	
EP071: C15 - C28 Fraction		100	mg/kg	<100	450 mg/kg	103	77.0	131	
EP071: C29 - C36 Fraction		100	mg/kg	<100	300 mg/kg	100	71.0	129	
EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	NEPM 2013 Fractions (QCL	.ot: 3024378)							
EP080: C6 - C10 Fraction	C6_C10	10	mg/kg	<10	31 mg/kg	106	68.4	128	
EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	NEPM 2013 Fractions (QCL	.ot: 3025259)							
EP071: >C10 - C16 Fraction		50	mg/kg	<50	375 mg/kg	99.7	77.0	125	
EP071: >C16 - C34 Fraction		100	mg/kg	<100	525 mg/kg	108	74.0	138	
EP071: >C34 - C40 Fraction		100	mg/kg	<100	225 mg/kg	81.3	63.0	131	
EP080: BTEXN (QCLot: 3024378)									
EP080: Benzene	71-43-2	0.2	mg/kg	<0.2	1 mg/kg	105	62.0	116	
EP080: Toluene	108-88-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	1 mg/kg	103	67.0	121	
EP080: Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	1 mg/kg	105	65.0	117	
EP080: meta- & para-Xylene	108-38-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	2 mg/kg	100	66.0	118	
	106-42-3								
EP080: ortho-Xylene	95-47-6	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	1 mg/kg	101	68.0	120	
EP080: Naphthalene	91-20-3	1	mg/kg	<1	1 mg/kg	83.2	63.0	119	

### Matrix Spike (MS) Report

The quality control term Matrix Spike (MS) refers to an intralaboratory split sample spiked with a representative set of target analytes. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor potential matrix effects on analyte recoveries. Static Recovery Limits as per laboratory Data Quality Objectives (DQOs). Ideal recovery ranges stated may be waived in the event of sample matrix interference.

Sub-Matrix: SOIL			Matrix Spike (MS) Report				
				Spike	SpikeRecovery(%)	Recovery L	imits (%)
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	Concentration	MS	Low	High
EG005(ED093)T: T	otal Metals by ICP-AES (QCLot: 3025734)						
ES2016504-009	ES2016504-009 Anonymous	EG005T: Arsenic	7440-38-2	50 mg/kg	86.5	70.0	130
		EG005T: Cadmium	7440-43-9	50 mg/kg	91.0	70.0	130
		EG005T: Chromium	7440-47-3	50 mg/kg	78.5	70.0	130
		EG005T: Copper	7440-50-8	250 mg/kg	97.9	70.0	130
		EG005T: Lead	7439-92-1	250 mg/kg	85.4	70.0	130
		EG005T: Nickel	7440-02-0	50 mg/kg	92.2	70.0	130

Page	: 8 of 9
Work Order	ES2016825
Client	ROBERT CARR & ASSOCIATES P/L
Project	: 14872



Sub-Matrix: SOIL				Ма	atrix Spike (MS) Report		
				Spike	SpikeRecovery(%)	Recovery Li	mits (%)
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	Concentration	MS	Low	High
EG005(ED093)T: T	otal Metals by ICP-AES (QCLot: 3025734) - continued						
ES2016504-009	Anonymous	EG005T: Zinc	7440-66-6	250 mg/kg	102	70.0	130
EG005(ED093)T: T	otal Metals by ICP-AES (QCLot: 3025738)						
ES2016825-005	S9	EG005T: Arsenic	7440-38-2	50 mg/kg	94.1	70.0	130
		EG005T: Cadmium	7440-43-9	50 mg/kg	91.5	70.0	130
		EG005T: Chromium	7440-47-3	50 mg/kg	91.3	70.0	130
		EG005T: Copper	7440-50-8	250 mg/kg	100.0	70.0	130
		EG005T: Lead	7439-92-1	250 mg/kg	90.4	70.0	130
		EG005T: Nickel	7440-02-0	50 mg/kg	91.2	70.0	130
		EG005T: Zinc	7440-66-6	250 mg/kg	86.6	70.0	130
EG035T: Total Red	coverable Mercury by FIMS (QCLot: 3025735)						
ES2016504-009	Anonymous	EG035T: Mercury	7439-97-6	5 mg/kg	81.2	70.0	130
EG035T: Total Red	coverable Mercury by FIMS (QCLot: 3025739)						
ES2016825-005	S9	EG035T: Mercury	7439-97-6	5 mg/kg	86.4	70.0	130
EP075(SIM)B: Poly	nuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (QCLot: 3025258)						
ES2016719-001	Anonymous	EP075(SIM): Acenaphthene	83-32-9	10 mg/kg	98.0	70.0	130
		EP075(SIM): Pyrene	129-00-0	10 mg/kg	95.8	70.0	130
EP080/071: Total P	etroleum Hydrocarbons (QCLot: 3024378)						
ES2016719-001	Anonymous	EP080: C6 - C9 Fraction		32.5 mg/kg	125	70.0	130
EP080/071: Total P	etroleum Hydrocarbons (QCLot: 3025259)		·				
ES2016719-001	Anonymous	EP071: C10 - C14 Fraction		523 mg/kg	88.5	73.0	137
		EP071: C15 - C28 Fraction		2319 mg/kg	108	53.0	131
		EP071: C29 - C36 Fraction		1714 mg/kg	128	52.0	132
EP080/071: Total R	ecoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions(QCL	.ot: 3024378)					
ES2016719-001	Anonymous	EP080: C6 - C10 Fraction	C6_C10	37.5 mg/kg	124	70.0	130
EP080/071: Total R	ecoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions(QCL	.ot: 3025259)					
ES2016719-001	Anonymous	EP071: >C10 - C16 Fraction		860 mg/kg	110	73.0	137
		EP071: >C16 - C34 Fraction		3223 mg/kg	121	53.0	131
		EP071: >C34 - C40 Fraction		1058 mg/kg	126	52.0	132
EP080: BTEXN (Q	CLot: 3024378)						
ES2016719-001	Anonymous	EP080: Benzene	71-43-2	2.5 mg/kg	102	70.0	130
		EP080: Toluene	108-88-3	2.5 mg/kg	98.3	70.0	130
		EP080: Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	2.5 mg/kg	108	70.0	130
		EP080: meta- & para-Xylene	108-38-3	2.5 mg/kg	126	70.0	130
			106-42-3				
		EP080: ortho-Xylene	95-47-6	2.5 mg/kg	123	70.0	130
		EP080: Naphthalene	91-20-3	2.5 mg/kg	75.1	70.0	130





QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review					
Work Order	ES2016825	Page	: 1 of 6		
Client	: ROBERT CARR & ASSOCIATES P/L	Laboratory	: Environmental Division Sydney		
Contact	: MS FIONA BROOKER	Telephone	: +61-2-8784 8555		
Project	: 14872	Date Samples Received	: 15-May-2020		
Site	:	Issue Date	: 21-May-2020		
Sampler	: CARMEN ROCHER	No. of samples received	: 10		
Order number	:	No. of samples analysed	: 10		

This report is automatically generated by the ALS LIMS through interpretation of the ALS Quality Control Report and several Quality Assurance parameters measured by ALS. This automated reporting highlights any non-conformances, facilitates faster and more accurate data validation and is designed to assist internal expert and external Auditor review. Many components of this report contribute to the overall DQO assessment and reporting for guideline compliance.

Brief method summaries and references are also provided to assist in traceability.

## Summary of Outliers

#### **Outliers : Quality Control Samples**

This report highlights outliers flagged in the Quality Control (QC) Report.

- <u>NO</u> Method Blank value outliers occur.
- <u>NO</u> Duplicate outliers occur.
- <u>NO</u> Laboratory Control outliers occur.
- <u>NO</u> Matrix Spike outliers occur.
- For all regular sample matrices, <u>NO</u> surrogate recovery outliers occur.

#### **Outliers : Analysis Holding Time Compliance**

• NO Analysis Holding Time Outliers exist.

#### **Outliers : Frequency of Quality Control Samples**

• Quality Control Sample Frequency Outliers exist - please see following pages for full details.



#### **Outliers : Frequency of Quality Control Samples**

Matri	ix.	SO	

Matrix: SOIL

Quality Control Sample Type	Со	unt	Rate	e (%)	Quality Control Specification
Method	QC	Regular	Actual	Expected	
Laboratory Duplicates (DUP)					
Moisture Content	1	11	9.09	10.00	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard

#### Analysis Holding Time Compliance

If samples are identified below as having been analysed or extracted outside of recommended holding times, this should be taken into consideration when interpreting results.

This report summarizes extraction / preparation and analysis times and compares each with ALS recommended holding times (referencing USEPA SW 846, APHA, AS and NEPM) based on the sample container provided. Dates reported represent first date of extraction or analysis and preclude subsequent dilutions and reruns. A listing of breaches (if any) is provided herein.

Holding time for leachate methods (e.g. TCLP) vary according to the analytes reported. Assessment compares the leach date with the shortest analyte holding time for the equivalent soil method. These are: organics 14 days, mercury 28 days & other metals 180 days. A recorded breach does not guarantee a breach for all non-volatile parameters.

Holding times for <u>VOC in soils</u> vary according to analytes of interest. Vinyl Chloride and Styrene holding time is 7 days; others 14 days. A recorded breach does not guarantee a breach for all VOC analytes and should be verified in case the reported breach is a false positive or Vinyl Chloride and Styrene are not key analytes of interest/concern.

Evaluation:  $\mathbf{x}$  = Holding time breach ;  $\mathbf{y}$  = Within holding time.

Method		Sample Date	Extraction / Preparation		Analysis			
Container / Client Sample ID(s)			Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation
EA055: Moisture Content (Dried @ 105-110°C)								
Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved (EA055)								
S1,	S3,	14-May-2020				18-May-2020	28-May-2020	✓
S6,	S8,							
S9,	S10,							
S12,	S13,							
S15								
EA200: AS 4964 - 2004 Identification of Asbestos in Soils								
Snap Lock Bag: Separate bag received (EA200)								
S1,	S3,	14-May-2020				18-May-2020	10-Nov-2020	$\checkmark$
S6,	S9,							
S10,	S12,							
S13,	S15							
EG005(ED093)T: Total Metals by ICP-AES								
Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved (EG005T)								
S1,	S3,	14-May-2020	18-May-2020	10-Nov-2020	~	19-May-2020	10-Nov-2020	✓
S6,	S8,							
S9,	S10,							
S12,	S13,							
S15								

Page	: 3 of 6
Work Order	: ES2016825
Client	: ROBERT CARR & ASSOCIATES P/L
Project	14872



Matrix: SOIL					Evaluation	: × = Holding time	breach ; 🗸 = Withi	n holding time.
Method	Sample Date	Extraction / Preparation						
Container / Client Samp	ple ID(s)		Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation
EG035T: Total Recov	verable Mercury by FIMS							
Soil Glass Jar - Unpres	served (EG035T)							
S1,	S3,	14-May-2020	18-May-2020	11-Jun-2020	1	19-May-2020	11-Jun-2020	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>
S6,	S8,							
S9,	S10,							
S12,	S13,							
S15								
EP075(SIM)B: Polynu	clear Aromatic Hydrocarbons							
Soil Glass Jar - Unpres	served (EP075(SIM))							
S1,	S3,	14-May-2020	19-May-2020	28-May-2020	1	19-May-2020	28-Jun-2020	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>
S6,	S8,							
S9,	S10,							
S12,	S13,							
S15								
EP080/071: Total Petr	oleum Hydrocarbons							
Soil Glass Jar - Unpres	served (EP080)							
S1,	S3,	14-May-2020	18-May-2020	28-May-2020	1	20-May-2020	28-May-2020	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>
S6,	S8,							
S9,	S10,							
S12,	S13,							
S15								
Soil Glass Jar - Unpres	served (EP071)							
S1,	S3,	14-May-2020	19-May-2020	28-May-2020	1	20-May-2020	28-Jun-2020	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>
S6,	S8,							
S9,	S10,							
S12,	S13,							
S15								
EP080/071: Total Rec	overable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions							
Soil Glass Jar - Unpres	served (EP080)							
S1,	S3,	14-May-2020	18-May-2020	28-May-2020	1	20-May-2020	28-May-2020	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>
S6,	S8,							
S9,	S10,							
S12,	S13,							
S15								
Soil Glass Jar - Unpres	served (EP071)							
S1,	S3,	14-May-2020	19-May-2020	28-May-2020	1	20-May-2020	28-Jun-2020	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>
S6,	S8,							
S9,	S10,							
S12,	S13,							
S15								

Page	: 4 of 6
Work Order	: ES2016825
Client	: ROBERT CARR & ASSOCIATES P/L
Project	: 14872

M2



 $\checkmark$ 

18-May-2020

----

----

10-Nov-2020

Matrix: SOIL					Evaluation	.on: $\mathbf{x}$ = Holding time breach ; $\mathbf{y}$ = Within holding time.				
Method		Sample Date	E	traction / Preparation			Analysis			
Container / Client Sample ID(s)			Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation		
EP080: BTEXN										
Soil Glass Jar - Unpreserved (EP08	30)									
S1,	S3,	14-May-2020	18-May-2020	28-May-2020	1	20-May-2020	28-May-2020	✓		
S6,	S8,									
S9,	S10,									
S12,	S13,									
S15										
Matrix: SOLID					Evaluatior	n: × = Holding time	breach ; ✓ = Withi	in holding time.		
Method		Sample Date	E	traction / Preparation		Analysis				
Container / Client Sample ID(s)			Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation		
EA200: AS 4964 - 2004 Identificati	on of Asbestos in bulk samples									
Snap Lock Bag - Friable Asbestos/	PSD Bag (EA200)									

14-May-2020

----



# **Quality Control Parameter Frequency Compliance**

The following report summarises the frequency of laboratory QC samples analysed within the analytical lot(s) in which the submitted sample(s) was(were) processed. Actual rate should be greater than or equal to the expected rate. A listing of breaches is provided in the Summary of Outliers.

Matrix: SOIL				Evaluatio	n: 🗴 = Quality Co	ontrol frequency	not within specification ; ✓ = Quality Control frequency within specification.		
Quality Control Sample Type		C	ount		Rate (%)		Quality Control Specification		
Analytical Methods	Method	OC	Reaular	Actual	Expected	Evaluation			
Laboratory Duplicates (DUP)									
Moisture Content	EA055	1	11	9.09	10.00	×	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
PAH/Phenols (SIM)	EP075(SIM)	2	12	16.67	10.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
Total Mercury by FIMS	EG035T	3	24	12.50	10.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
Total Metals by ICP-AES	EG005T	3	25	12.00	10.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
TRH - Semivolatile Fraction	EP071	2	16	12.50	10.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
TRH Volatiles/BTEX	EP080	2	20	10.00	10.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)									
PAH/Phenols (SIM)	EP075(SIM)	1	12	8.33	5.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
Total Mercury by FIMS	EG035T	2	24	8.33	5.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
Total Metals by ICP-AES	EG005T	2	25	8.00	5.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
TRH - Semivolatile Fraction	EP071	1	16	6.25	5.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
TRH Volatiles/BTEX	EP080	1	20	5.00	5.00	1	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
Method Blanks (MB)									
PAH/Phenols (SIM)	EP075(SIM)	1	12	8.33	5.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
Total Mercury by FIMS	EG035T	2	24	8.33	5.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
Total Metals by ICP-AES	EG005T	2	25	8.00	5.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
TRH - Semivolatile Fraction	EP071	1	16	6.25	5.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
TRH Volatiles/BTEX	EP080	1	20	5.00	5.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
Matrix Spikes (MS)									
PAH/Phenols (SIM)	EP075(SIM)	1	12	8.33	5.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
Total Mercury by FIMS	EG035T	2	24	8.33	5.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
Total Metals by ICP-AES	EG005T	2	25	8.00	5.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
TRH - Semivolatile Fraction	EP071	1	16	6.25	5.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		
TRH Volatiles/BTEX	EP080	1	20	5.00	5.00	✓	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard		



# **Brief Method Summaries**

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the US EPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request. The following report provides brief descriptions of the analytical procedures employed for results reported in the Certificate of Analysis. Sources from which ALS methods have been developed are provided within the Method Descriptions.

Analytical Methods	Method	Matrix	Method Descriptions
Moisture Content	EA055	SOIL	In house: A gravimetric procedure based on weight loss over a 12 hour drying period at 105-110 degrees C. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3) Section 6.1 and Table 1 (14 day holding time).
Asbestos Identification in Soils	EA200	SOIL	AS 4964 - 2004 Method for the qualitative identification of asbestos in bulk samples Analysis by Polarised Light Microscopy including dispersion staining
Total Metals by ICP-AES	EG005T	SOIL	In house: Referenced to APHA 3120; USEPA SW 846 - 6010. Metals are determined following an appropriate acid digestion of the soil. The ICPAES technique ionises samples in a plasma, emitting a characteristic spectrum based on metals present. Intensities at selected wavelengths are compared against those of matrix matched standards. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)
Total Mercury by FIMS	EG035T	SOIL	In house: Referenced to AS 3550, APHA 3112 Hg - B (Flow-injection (SnCl2) (Cold Vapour generation) AAS) FIM-AAS is an automated flameless atomic absorption technique. Mercury in solids are determined following an appropriate acid digestion. Ionic mercury is reduced online to atomic mercury vapour by SnCl2 which is then purged into a heated quartz cell. Quantification is by comparing absorbance against a calibration curve. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)
TRH - Semivolatile Fraction	EP071	SOIL	In house: Referenced to USEPA SW 846 - 8015A Sample extracts are analysed by Capillary GC/FID and quantified against alkane standards over the range C10 - C40. Compliant with NEPM amended 2013.
PAH/Phenols (SIM)	EP075(SIM)	SOIL	In house: Referenced to USEPA SW 846 - 8270E. Extracts are analysed by Capillary GC/MS in Selective Ion Mode (SIM) and quantification is by comparison against an established 5 point calibration curve. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3) (Method 502 and 507)
TRH Volatiles/BTEX	EP080	SOIL	In house: Referenced to USEPA SW 846 - 8260D. Extracts are analysed by Purge and Trap, Capillary GC/MS. Quantification is by comparison against an established 5 point calibration curve. Compliant with NEPM amended 2013.
Preparation Methods	Method	Matrix	Method Descriptions
Hot Block Digest for metals in soils sediments and sludges	EN69	SOIL	In house: Referenced to USEPA 200.2. Hot Block Acid Digestion 1.0g of sample is heated with Nitric and Hydrochloric acids, then cooled. Peroxide is added and samples heated and cooled again before being filtered and bulked to volume for analysis. Digest is appropriate for determination of selected metals in sludge, sediments, and soils. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3) (Method 202)
Methanolic Extraction of Soils for Purge and Trap	ORG16	SOIL	In house: Referenced to USEPA SW 846 - 5030A. 5g of solid is shaken with surrogate and 10mL methanol prior to analysis by Purge and Trap - GC/MS.
Tumbler Extraction of Solids	ORG17	SOIL	In house: Mechanical agitation (tumbler). 10g of sample, Na2SO4 and surrogate are extracted with 30mL 1:1 DCM/Acetone by end over end tumble. The solvent is decanted, dehydrated and concentrated (by KD) to the desired volume for analysis.



# **SAMPLE RECEIPT NOTIFICATION (SRN)**

Work Order	: ES2016825							
Client Contact Address	: ROBERT CARR & ASSOCIATES P/L : MS FIONA BROOKER : PO BOX 175 CARRINGTON NSW, AUSTRALIA 22	Laboratory Contact Address 94	: Enviro : Custor : 277-28 NSW /	<ul> <li>Environmental Division Sydney</li> <li>Customer Services ES</li> <li>277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164</li> <li>ALSEnviro.Sydney@ALSGlobal.com</li> <li>+61-2-8784 8555</li> <li>+61-2-8784 8500</li> <li>1 of 3</li> <li>ES2017ROBCAR0004 (SYBQ/400/18)</li> <li>NEPM 2013 B3 &amp; ALS QC Standard</li> </ul>				
E-mail Telephone Facsimile	: fionab@rca.com.au : +61 02 4902 9200 : +61 02 4902 9299	E-mail Telephone Facsimile	: ALSEr : +61-2- : +61-2-					
Project Order number C-O-C number Site Sampler	: 14872 : : : : CARMEN ROCHER	Page Quote number QC Level	: 1 of 3 : ES201 : NEPM					
Dates Date Samples Receiv Client Requested Due Date	ed : 15-May-2020 15:05 : 22-May-2020	Issue Date Scheduled Reportin	g Date	: 15-May-2020 : <b>22-May-2020</b>				
Delivery Detail Mode of Delivery No. of coolers/boxes	S : Undefined : 1	Security Seal Temperature		: Not Available : 1.1'C - Ice present				

No. of samples received / analysed : 10 / 10

#### **General Comments**

**Receipt Detail** 

- This report contains the following information:
  - Sample Container(s)/Preservation Non-Compliances
  - Summary of Sample(s) and Requested Analysis
  - Proactive Holding Time Report
  - Requested Deliverables
- Please refer to the Proactive Holding Time Report table below which summarises breaches of recommended holding times that have occurred prior to samples/instructions being received at the laboratory. The absence of this summary table indicates that all samples have been received within the recommended holding times for the analysis requested.
- Sample(s) requiring volatile organic compound analysis received in airtight containers (ZHE).
- Asbestos analysis will be conducted by ALS Newcastle.
- Please direct any queries you have regarding this work order to the above ALS laboratory contact.
- Analytical work for this work order will be conducted at ALS Sydney.
- Sample Disposal Aqueous (3 weeks), Solid (2 months ± 1 week) from receipt of samples.
- Please be aware that APHA/NEPM recommends water and soil samples be chilled to less than or equal to 6°C for chemical
  analysis, and less than or equal to 10°C but unfrozen for Microbiological analysis. Where samples are received above this
  temperature, it should be taken into consideration when interpreting results. Refer to ALS EnviroMail 85 for ALS
  recommendations of the best practice for chilling samples after sampling and for maintaining a cool temperature during transit.



#### Sample Container(s)/Preservation Non-Compliances

All comparisons are made against pretreatment/preservation AS, APHA, USEPA standards.

ification in Bulk Solids (Excluding

ification in Soils -

#### • No sample container / preservation non-compliance exists.

#### Summary of Sample(s) and Requested Analysis

Some items described below may be part of a laboratory process necessary for the execution of client requested tasks. Packages may contain additional analyses, such as the determination of moisture content and preparation tasks, that are included in the package.

If no sampling time is provided, the sampling time will default 00:00 on the date of sampling. If no sampling date is provided, the sampling date will be assumed by the laboratory and displayed in brackets without a time component

Matrix: SOIL       Laboratory sample       Client sampling date / time       Client sample ID       00 VP 1 vp 00 VP 1 vp 00 VP 0 v	uned by the נוסי אד	be assumed by the ets without a time
Laboratory sample       Client sampling       Client sample ID $1 + 5 + 3 + 5 + 5 + 5 + 5 + 5 + 5 + 5 + 5$	EA055-1 e Contei e Contei EA200 s Identi s Identi s Identi s Identi	EA055-1 e Contei EA200 s Identi
ES2016825-001       14-May-2020 00:00       S1 $\checkmark$ <	samble ID SOIL - F SOIL - F SOIL - F SOIL - F SOIL - SOIL - F SOIL - SOIL - F	Client sample ID
ES2016825-002       14-May-2020 00:00       S3       ✓       ✓       ✓          ES2016825-003       14-May-2020 00:00       S6       ✓       ✓       ✓          ES2016825-004       14-May-2020 00:00       S8       ✓       ✓       ✓          ES2016825-005       14-May-2020 00:00       S9       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-006       14-May-2020 00:00       S10       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-007       14-May-2020 00:00       S12       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-008       14-May-2020 00:00       S13       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-009       14-May-2020 00:00       S15       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-010       14-May-2020 00:00       M2       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓	✓ ✓ <b>√</b>	l 🖌 🖌
ES2016825-003       14-May-2020 00:00       S6       ✓       ✓       ✓          ES2016825-004       14-May-2020 00:00       S8       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-005       14-May-2020 00:00       S9       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-006       14-May-2020 00:00       S10       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-007       14-May-2020 00:00       S12       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-008       14-May-2020 00:00       S13       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-009       14-May-2020 00:00       S15       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-010       14-May-2020 00:00       M2       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓	✓ ✓ <b>√</b>	3 🖌 🖌
ES2016825-004       14-May-2020 00:00       S8       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-005       14-May-2020 00:00       S9       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-006       14-May-2020 00:00       S10       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-007       14-May-2020 00:00       S12       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-008       14-May-2020 00:00       S13       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-009       14-May-2020 00:00       S15       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-010       14-May-2020 00:00       M2       ✓       ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ <b>•</b>	3 🖌 🖌
ES2016825-005       14-May-2020 00:00       S9       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-006       14-May-2020 00:00       S10       ✓ <t< td=""><td>✓</td><td>3 🖌</td></t<>	✓	3 🖌
ES2016825-006       14-May-2020 00:00       S10       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-007       14-May-2020 00:00       S12       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-008       14-May-2020 00:00       S13       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-009       14-May-2020 00:00       S15       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-010       14-May-2020 00:00       M2       ✓       ✓       ✓       ✓	✓ ✓ <b>√</b>	) 🖌 🖌
ES2016825-007       14-May-2020 00:00       S12       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-008       14-May-2020 00:00       S13       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-009       14-May-2020 00:00       S15       ✓       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-010       14-May-2020 00:00       M2       ✓       ✓       ✓	✓ ✓ <b>√</b>	10 🖌 🖌
ES2016825-008       14-May-2020 00:00       S13       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-009       14-May-2020 00:00       S15       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-010       14-May-2020 00:00       M2       ✓       ✓	✓ ✓ <b>√</b>	12 🖌 🖌
ES2016825-009       14-May-2020 00:00       S15       ✓       ✓         ES2016825-010       14-May-2020 00:00       M2       ✓	✓ ✓ <b>√</b>	13 🖌 🖌
ES2016825-010 14-May-2020 00:00 M2	✓ ✓ <b>√</b>	15 🖌 🖌
	✓ ✓	2

### Proactive Holding Time Report

Sample(s) have been received within the recommended holding times for the requested analysis.

# Requested Deliverables

#### ADMINISTRATOR

<ul> <li>*AU Certificate of Analysis - NATA (COA)</li> </ul>	Email	administrator@rca.com.au
- *AU Interpretive QC Report - DEFAULT (Anon QCI Rep) (QCI)	Email	administrator@rca.com.au
- *AU QC Report - DEFAULT (Anon QC Rep) - NATA (QC)	Email	administrator@rca.com.au
- A4 - AU Sample Receipt Notification - Environmental HT (SRN)	Email	administrator@rca.com.au
- Chain of Custody (CoC) (COC)	Email	administrator@rca.com.au
- EDI Format - ENMRG (ENMRG)	Email	administrator@rca.com.au
- EDI Format - ESDAT (ESDAT)	Email	administrator@rca.com.au
- EDI Format - XTab (XTAB)	Email	administrator@rca.com.au
ALL INVOICES		
- A4 - AU Tax Invoice (INV)	Email	administrator@rca.com.au
CARMEN ROCHER		
<ul> <li>*AU Certificate of Analysis - NATA (COA)</li> </ul>	Email	carmenr@rca.com.au
- *AU Interpretive QC Report - DEFAULT (Anon QCI Rep) (QCI)	Email	carmenr@rca.com.au
<ul> <li>*AU QC Report - DEFAULT (Anon QC Rep) - NATA (QC)</li> </ul>	Email	carmenr@rca.com.au
- A4 - AU Sample Receipt Notification - Environmental HT (SRN)	Email	carmenr@rca.com.au
- A4 - AU Tax Invoice (INV)	Email	carmenr@rca.com.au
- Chain of Custody (CoC) (COC)	Email	carmenr@rca.com.au
- EDI Format - ENMRG (ENMRG)	Email	carmenr@rca.com.au
- EDI Format - ESDAT (ESDAT)	Email	carmenr@rca.com.au
- EDI Format - XTab (XTAB)	Email	carmenr@rca.com.au
FIONA BROOKER		
<ul> <li>*AU Certificate of Analysis - NATA (COA)</li> </ul>	Email	fionab@rca.com.au
<ul> <li>*AU Interpretive QC Report - DEFAULT (Anon QCI Rep) (QCI)</li> </ul>	Email	fionab@rca.com.au
<ul> <li>*AU QC Report - DEFAULT (Anon QC Rep) - NATA (QC)</li> </ul>	Email	fionab@rca.com.au
- A4 - AU Sample Receipt Notification - Environmental HT (SRN)	Email	fionab@rca.com.au
- A4 - AU Tax Invoice (INV)	Email	fionab@rca.com.au
- Chain of Custody (CoC) (COC)	Email	fionab@rca.com.au
- EDI Format - ENMRG (ENMRG)	Email	fionab@rca.com.au
- EDI Format - ESDAT (ESDAT)	Email	fionab@rca.com.au
- EDI Format - XTab (XTAB)	Email	fionab@rca.com.au

CHAIN OF CUSTODY       DADELA/DE 21 Burma Road Pooraka SA 5095 Ph: 08 4087 cl: adealide@laglobal.com       DMACKAY 78 Marbour Road Markay QL 04740 Ph: 07 4944 0177 E: mackay@laglobal.com       DNEWCASTLE 5/685 Maltand Rd Markfeld West NSW 23/025 CDNEY 27: Ph: 08 4087 cl: adealide@laglobal.com         CHAIN OF CUSTODY       DBRISBANE 32 Shared Street Sufford (LD 4053 Ph: 07 3247 222 E: samples brisbane@laglobal.com       DMACKAY 78 Marbour Road Markay QL 04740 Ph: 07 4946 40177 E: mackag@laglobal.com       DNEWCASTLE 5/685 Maltand Rd Markfeld West NSW 23/025 CDNEY 27: Ph: 07 4976 4021 CD         CHAIN OF CUSTODY       DBRISBANE 32 Shared Street Sufford (LD 4053 Ph: 07 3247 222 E: samples brisbane@laglobal.com       DMELBOURNE 2.4 Wat sil Fload Spingwale VI: 0171 Ph: 08 4249 2005 E: nown@laglobal.com       DNOWRA 4/13 Geary Place North Nowra NSW 2541 DTOWNSVILLE 14 Ph: 07 4747 6806 E: gladstene@laglobal.com       DTOWNSVILLE 14 Ph: 07 4747 6804 Ph: 00 2007 675 E: nown@laglobal.com       Ph: 07 4747 6804 Ph: 00 2007 675 E: nown@laglobal.com       Ph: 07 4747 6804 Ph: 00 2007 675 E: nown@laglobal.com       Ph: 07 4747 6804 Ph: 00 2007 675 E: nown@laglobal.com       Ph: 02 422 373 E													Y 277-289 Woodpark Road Smi 84 8555 E: samples.aydney@at E 14 15 Desma Courl Bothe Ol 600 E: townsvZe.environnentat@ NO 99 Kenny Street Wollongon 25 E: portkembla@atsglobal.com	thfioid NSW 2184 sglobal.com D.4819 tisglobal.com a NSW 2500 n		
CLIENT:	RCA Australia		TURNARO	IND REQUIREMENTS :	-Stand	ard TAT (List due d	ate):					FOR	LABORAT	ORY USE (	ONLY (Circle)	
OFFICE:	92 Hill Street, Carrington	tandard or urgent T/	AT (List due	date):				Custo	dy Seal Inlac	17 A batalia auroa	Yas	No <u>Nr</u>				
Incur Ref No:         148/2         ALS QUOTE NO.:         STBQ_400_18         COC SEQUENC           Coc:         (1) 2         2         2         2         2         2												recent	97 97	e micke files	eeropon (Yes)	No N/
PROJECT MANAGE	R: F Brooker	CONTACT PI	1: 02 4902 92	225				0F:	$\mathcal{A}_{2}$	34	56	7 Other	comment	анфегацие с	Heceup:	C
AMPLER: C Roche	er	SAMPLER M	OBILE: 0478	548 630	RELINQUI	SHED BY:		REC	EIVED BY:			RELINQUIS	SHED BY:		RECEIVED BY:	
COC emailed to ALS	5? (YES / NO)	EDD FORMA	T (or default	):	S.WI	ή			1MN	12ff	5m	- An	10 AC	$\sim$	45	
Email Reports to: ac	Iministrator@rca.com.au + carmen	r@rca.com.au + fionab@r	ca.com.au		DATE/TIM	E:	. ^	DAT	E/TINE:	-la	7	DATE/TIME	: 		DATE/TIME:	7:30pm
Email Invoice to: as	above	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>	15/5/1	10 15:0	VV		<u> 9/UC</u>	<u>)/20</u>		15/0	5/20	<u> </u>	<u>15.5,2</u>	<u>,                                     </u>
COMMENTS/SPECI/	AL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DIS	POSAL:							/	1		•		0		
ALS USE	SAMPI Matrix: Soi	E DETAILS LID (S) WATER (W)		CONTAINER IN	FORMATION		ANAL' Where N	YSIS REQUIR Ietals are req	RED including uired, specify	SUITES (NB. Total (unfiltere rəqui	Suite Codes n ed bottle requir ired).	nust be listed ed) or <b>Dissol</b>	l to attract sui Ived (field filte	te price) ared bottle	Additional In	formation
LAB ID	Sample ID	Date / Time	Matrix	<b>Type &amp; Preservative</b> (refer to codes below)	e )	Total Containers	\$26	EA200-Abestos absence/presence	Asbestos absence / presence in bulk solids						Comments on likely cont dilutions, or samples requ analysis atc.	aminant levels, Jiring specific QC
}	S1	141512020	2			2	x	x								
2	 \$3	141(12000	3		<u></u>	2	x	x								
2	Se .	11012020	~			3										
J			<u> </u>						<u> </u>						····	
4	S8	ļ <u>ļ</u>	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		4	X						Enviro	onment	al Division	
5	S9		<u> </u>			2	x	x					Sydne	ey .	D-(	
h	S10		2			2	x	x					Wor	k Order Cクハ	16825	<u> </u>
7	\$12		8			2	x	x	1					320	10020	
\$	S13		<u> </u>			$\overline{2}$	x	x	1						ha 114 -	
$\hat{\circ}$		<u> </u> <u> </u>				2										
7	515	V	<u> </u>			4	×	*								
10	M2	1415/2020	B_						X					1656	┍╱┶╋╿╈╍╲╘╏╋┻╿╿╿╿	
-			$\sim$						1		]		i elapho	ne 1 + 61-2	-8784 8555	
			•												L.	·····
					and the second second								-			
	And the second second		1. 1		TODAL				2	19 9 s	133	20 M 20		<u> </u>		~ <u></u>
Water Container Codes V = VOA Vial HCI Prese	P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric F rved; VB = VOA Vial Sodium Bisulphate	Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sult	Preserved OF uric Preserved	C; SH = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserve ; AV = Airfreight Unpreserved Vial SG =	ed; S = Sodium Sulfuric Preserv	Hydroxide Preserved red Amber Glass; H	Plastic; AG = = HCI preser	- Amber Glass rved Plastic;	s Unpreserved HS = HCI pres	: AP - Airfreigh erved Speciati	nt Unpreserver ion bottle; SP :	l Plastic = Sulfunc Pre	eserved Plast	c; F = Form	Aldehyde Preserved Glass;	
<u>: = Zinc Acetate Presen</u>	<pre>/ed Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles;</pre>	<u>_S1 = Sterile Bottle;</u> ASS = Pla	stic Bag for Ac	id Sulphate Soils; B = Unpreserved Bag.	- ^	1			and Havenana	ana shekara shekara	Blinner (* 1995) Andrewski (* 1995)	t Bernansen - P			NEWOL-SI	have been go
			FL	SB(Q)	EN	)										

# Appendix H

Summary of Results

Sample Identification		Guideline <sup>A</sup>							S1	S3	S6	S8	S9	S10	S12	S13	S15
Sample Depth (m) <sup>B</sup>	POL	HSL 'A'	' HSL 'B'	ESL L	JRPOS	Sensit	ive ML		0.05	0.05	0.1	0.05	0,2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.05
Date		SAND 0-<1m	SILT 0-<1m	Coarse	Fine	Coarse	Fine	DC A	14/5/20	14/5/20	14/5/20	14/5/20	14/5/20	14/5/20	14/5/20	14/5/20	14/5/20
Sample Profile								Silty sand, brown with gravel	Sandy silt, brown with gravel	Silty clay, orange/brown	Sandy silt, brown, vegetation present	Silty Sand, browr	Silty sand, brown	Sandy silt, light brown	Silty sand, light brown	Silty sand, brown	
Dominant Stratum <sup>C</sup>								Sand	Sand	Silt	Sand	Sand	Sand	Sand	Sand	Sand	
						Sa	mple Purpo	ose	Investigation	Investigation	Investigation	Investigation	Investigation	Investigation	Investigation	Investigation	Investigation
						Samp	le collected	by	RCA - CR	RCA - CR	RCA - CR	RCA - CR	RCA - CR	RCA - CR	RCA - CR	RCA - CR	RCA - CR
Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl	benzer	ne, Xylen	e (BTEX)														
Benzene	0.2	0.5	0.6	50	65			100	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Toluene	0.5	160	390	85	85			14000	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Ethylbenzene	0.5	55	NL	70	125			4500	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
meta- and para-Xylene	0.5								<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
ortho-Xylene	0.5								<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Total Xylenes	1	40	95	105	45			12000	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Polycyclic Aromatic Hyd	Irocarb	ons (PA	H)	1	-			1	1						•		1
Naphthalene	1	3	4	170	170			1400	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Total Recoverable Hydro	ocarbo	ns (TRH)	<b>n</b>	1	1	1	1	1	1			l .	T.	1	T		1
TRH C <sub>6</sub> -C <sub>10</sub>	10					700	800	4400	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
TRH >C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>16</sub>	50			120	120	1000	1000	3300	<50	<50	<50	<50	80	70	<50	<50	90
TRH >C <sub>16</sub> -C <sub>34</sub>	100			300	1300	2500	3500	4500	<100	<100	<100	120	300	<u>430</u>	<100	160	<u>530</u>
TRH >C <sub>34</sub> -C <sub>40</sub>	100			2800	5600	10000	10000	6300	<100	<100	<100	<100	240	260	<100	140	280
F1	10	45	40	180	180				<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
F2	50	110	230						<50	<50	<50	<50	79.5	69.5	<50	<50	89.5

All results are in units of mg/kg.

Blank Cell indicates no criterion available

PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit. Where PQL is for a summation, PQL of all components is summed and may be different from that presented by laboratory

F1 = TRH C<sub>6</sub>-C<sub>10</sub> minus BTEX. F1 PQL deemed equal TRH C<sub>6</sub>-C<sub>10</sub>.

F2 = TRH/TRH >C<sub>10</sub>-C<sub>16</sub> minus naphthalene. F2 PQL deemed = TRH>C<sub>10</sub>-C<sub>16</sub>.

<sup>A</sup> ASC NEPM 1999 (amended April 2013) Vapour Based Health Screening Levels (HSL) 'A' (Residential)

<sup>A</sup> ASC NEPM 1999 (amended April 2013) Ecological Screening Levels (ESL) URPOS (Urban Residential and Public Open Space)

<sup>A</sup> ASC NEPM 1999 (amended April 2013) Management Limits (ML) Sensitive Sites (Residential, open space)

<sup>A</sup> CRC Care Technical Report 10, September 2011 Direct Contact (DC) Health Screening Levels 'A' (Residential)

<sup>B</sup> Start of sample, generally over a 0.1m interval

<sup>C</sup> Note that this is a generalisation for the purpose of comparing to the HSL criteria. Where two strata equally represented, most conservative criterion used

NL designates 'Not Limiting' indicating that the pore water concentration required to constitute a vapour risk is higher than the solubility capacity for that compound based on a petroleum mixture. Vapour is therefore not a risk for this compound. Results for TRH have been compared to TPH guidelines.

Presented ESL for naphthalene is an Ecological Investigation Level

ESL are applicable for material at less than 2m depths below finished surface/ground level

For the purpose of the Tier 1 ESL/EIL assessment, all background concentrations are assumed to be zero

ESL for TRH>C\_{16}\text{-}C\_{34} and >C\_{34}\text{-}C\_{40} are low reliability

Results shown in  $\ensuremath{\textbf{BOLD}}$  are in excess of the vapour based HSL

Results shown in shading are >250% of the vapour based HSL

Results shown in <u>underline</u> are in excess of the ESL

Results shown in *italics* are in excess of the management limit

Results shown in patterned cells are in excess of the direct contact HSL

Where summation required (Xylene, F1, F2) calculation includes components reported as non detected as 1/2 PQL.

#### Soil Results Summary HSL/ESL Comparison

Prepared by: CR Checked by: FB RCA Australia. AWS-TEM-018/17

Sample Identification		Gui	deline <sup>A</sup>	S1	S3	S6	M2	S8	S9	S10	S12	S13	S15	
Sample Depth (m) <sup>B</sup>	PQL	יאי וונו	EIL	0.05	0.05	0.1	0.05	0.05	0,2	0.2	0.1	0.05	0.05	
Date			URPOS	14/5/20	14/5/20	14/5/20	14/5/20	14/5/20	14/5/20	14/5/20	14/5/20	14/5/20	14/5/20	
	S	Sample Pr	ofile	Silty sand, brown with gravel	Sandy silt, brown with gravel	Silty clay, orange/brown	White/cream fibro board approximately fragment 50mm) at location S6	Sandy silt, brown, vegetation present	Silty Sand, brown	Silty sand, brown	Sandy silt, light brown	Silty sand, light brown	Silty sand, brow	
	Sa	mple Purp	oose	Investigation	Investigation	Investigation	Investigation	Investigation	Investigation	Investigation	Investigation	Investigation	Investigation	
	Sampl	le collecte	d by	RCA - CR	RCA - CR	RCA - CR	RCA CR	RCA - CR	RCA - CR	RCA - CR	RCA - CR	RCA - CR	RCA - CR	
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH)														
Naphthalene	0.5		170	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Acenaphthylene	0.5			<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Acenaphthene	0.5			<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Fluorene	0.5			<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Phenanthrene	0.5			<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Anthracene	0.5			<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Fluoranthene	0.5			<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Pyrene	0.5			<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Benz(a)anthracene	0.5			<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Chrysene	0.5			<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Benzo(b)&(j)fluoranthene	0.5			<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.5			<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Benzo(a) pyrene	0.5		0.7	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	0.5			<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.5			<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.5			<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Carcinogenic PAH (B(a)P equivalent)	1.21	3		0.605	0.605	0.605		0.605	0.605	0.605	0.605	0.605	0.605	
Sum of reported PAH	8	300		4	4	4		4	4	4	4	4	4	
Metals														
Arsenic	5	100	100	<5	<5	<5		<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	
Cadmium	1	20		<1	<1	<1		<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Chromium	2	100	190	<2	6	4		5	2	3	5	<2	4	
Copper	5	6000	280	<5	7	<5		<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	
Mercury	0.1	40		<0.1	<0.1	<0.1		<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Lead	5	300	1100	<5	9	5		9	7	<5	<5	<5	5	
Nickel	2	400	30	<2	2	<2		<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	
Zinc	5	7400	230	<5	26	<5		<5	<5	<5	6	147	20	
Asbestos														
Detected Asbestos Weight	0.1			Nil detected -	Nil detected -	Nil detected -	Yes		Nil detected -	Nil detected -	Nil detected -	Nil detected -	Nil detected	
Sample weight	0.1			12.2	29.2	36.0	(chyrsotile)		14.6	16.1	34.2	14.7	6.97	

All results are in units of mg/kg, except for asbestos.

Blank Cell indicates no criterion available

PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit. Where PQL is for a summation, PQL of all components is summed and may be different from that presented by laboratory

<sup>A</sup> ASC NEPM 1999 (amended April 2013) Health Investigation Levels (HIL) 'A' (Residential)

<sup>A</sup> ASC NEPM 1999 (amended April 2013) Ecological Investigation Levels (EIL) URPOS (Urban Residential and Public Open Space)

<sup>B</sup> Start of sample, generally over a 0.1m interval

The Carcinogenic PAH value is calculated by multiplying the concentration of each of the 8 carcinogenic PAH compounds by its B(a)P toxic equivalence factor and summing these products.

HIL for Chromium are for Chromium VI

Presented ecological value for benzo(a)pyrene is a low reliability Ecological Screening Level

ESL are applicable for material at less than 2m depths below finished surface/ground level

For the purpose of the Tier 1 ESL/EIL assessment, all background concentrations are assumed to be zero

 $\mathsf{EIL}$  for Naphthalene are for fresh (<2years) Naphthalene

EIL for Arsenic are for aged (>2years) Arsenic

EIL for Chromium are the added contaminant limit for aged (>2years) Chromium III in soils of 1% clay, the most conservative of the criteria.

EIL for Copper are the added contaminant limit for aged (>2years) Copper in soils of pH 6.5.

EIL for Lead are the added contaminant limit for aged (>2years) Lead.

EIL for Nickel are the added contaminant limit for aged (>2years) Nickel in soils of 5% CEC the most conservative of the criteria.

EIL for Zinc are the added contaminant limit for aged (>2years) Zinc in soils of 5% CEC and pH of 6.5, the most conservative of the criteria at pH 6.5.

Results shown in  $\ensuremath{\textbf{BOLD}}$  are in excess of the  $\ensuremath{\textbf{HIL}}$ 

Results shown in shading are >250% of the HIL

Results shown in <u>underline</u> are in excess of EIL

Where summation required (PAH) calculation includes components reported as non detected as 1/2 PQL.

Darkinjung LALC Preliminary Contamination Assessment Lots 512 and 513, Kariong RCA ref:14872-401/0,June 2020 Soil Results Summary HIL/EIL Comparison



Prepared by: CR Checked by: FB RCA Australia. AWS-TEM-018/17